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## संप्यात् नास्ति परेश धर्ग्म :।

there is no relhgion hegher thian trutht.
[Fomity motto of the Maherrejuths of Beneteres.]

The Eilitors disclaim responsibility for opinions expressed by contributors in their articles, with some of which they agree, with others not. Great latitude is cllowed to correspondents, wat they tone wre accomentable for what they write. The journal is officred as a velicle for the wiele disseminution of fuets and openions comatected "ith the Astuctic religions, whilosophies and sciences. All who have arything worth telling are made welcome, wel not interfered with. Rivjected


## SPEULAL NOTICE TU CORRESPONDENTS'.

## Advertiny to articles and correspondence

 destinced for the pages of the Theosophist, we would call the attention of intending contributor's to the following instructions:-(I.) No anonimnous tocuments will be caceepted for insertioin, even thvelyh they may be signed "a Theosophist."
(II.) Any contributor not desiring his name to be mude public, should give the necesserty intimetion to the Eiditur uthen forctardeng his contribation.
(III.) Contributors are requested to forteard their artites in the ectrly part of the month, so cts to cellue the Eilitor plenty of time for correction and disposal in the pages of the Theosomist.
(IV.) All corvespomentence to be on one side of the paper only, leaving eleetr spaces between lines aind with te wide mar'gin.

## OUTR PANDIT' AT OXFORD.

Professor Monier Willianss las paid so ligh a tribute to our clever young Brother, Pandit Shymariji Crishuavarma, F.S.S., that we reproduce it verbatim for the gratitication of his fellow Theosophists throughout the world. It will not be amiss to mention an incident connected with Pandit Shyannaji's departure for England, now that his splendid success has thoroughly vindicated the wisdom of that step. He was a favourite pupil of Dayanand Swami, and, acting as his amanuensis in the early correspondence of the Swami with the Founders of the Theosophical Society, came into close and affectionate personal relations with ourselves. He consulted us about accepting Professor Willi:ms's invitation to Oxford, and at first we did not cucourage the idea, as we foresaw the vast work that had to be done for the Hindus, and felt that he should rather devote his energies to then than grasp after the vain distinctions of titles aud degrees. But Shymaji "felt a call," as the revivalists say, to make the academic plange, and determined that go he would ; yet he wanted to take our good wishes. Colonel Olcott finally went uver
the whole subject with him, gave him minute information as to what he mast be prepared to encounter and overcome, and told him that he must now choose for himself and abide by his choice: if he had the right stuff in him, success and the highest honours were within his reach; if he had not, he would wretchedly fail and his failure be ascribed to a blind egotism that would not or could not calculate chances. The carnest young fellow pondered long and canestly; and, at last, with an expression of determined purpose that could not be mistaken, he said" I think I had better go ; I will go !" He went and here in Professor Williams' own words we have a statement of the result-a result of which we are proud :-

## [TO The hidtor of the "times of india."]

Sir,-The ease, with which Pandit Shyamaji Krishna Varma (who canc to England, as is generally known, at my recommendation) passed his second examination (Moderations) at the cud of the Oxforl summer term, and the scholarlike proficiency, displayed by him in Greek and Latin literature, is an interesting proof of the close relationship between the classical languages of India and those of Europe. The P'aulit was quite unacquainted with Greek and Latin when he arrived in Kngland, and yet passed his first examination after little more than a year's study. Another interesting point comectel with his second examination is that, although not a Christian, he solectell as one of his sulbjects the four Gospels in the original Greek, and passed a lighly crelitable examination, both in the text and subject matter, showing by his answers, written and oral, a better acquaintance with the facts of Christiamity than is commonly found even among the young nen who protess Christianity. This is the first instance on record of a non-Christian student successfully surmounting difficulties, which to Asiatic minds, nurtured in a wholly differcut religious atmosphere, have liitherto appeared insuperable. Thic Paudit will probably pass his third examination next term, when he will be allowed to take up Sanskrit as one of lis subjects. He will then be qualified for lis B.A. degrec.

If I an asked whether it is open to other young Indian Sanskrit scholars to enter on a similar career with any prospect of similar success, 1 auswer yes, provided they possess four qualifications:- -1 . Sufficient enlightemment to be superior to the prejudices of caste. 2. . Energy and powers of application combined with fair abilities. 3. Sufficiently strong health to resist the cold and dann of an English winter. 4. Relations or friends able and willing to render pecuniary aid.--Yours, \&e.,

Monier Williams.

Oxford, August 1.

## DEATI AND IMMORTALITY.

[The following letier states an pmbarassment which may very likely have oceured to other readers of the passages quoted, besides our correspondent.-ED.]

## occult frabments and the book of khiu-tes.

ro the editole of the " taliosophist."
In the article on ". Death" by the late Eliphas Levi, printed in the October number of the Tresosornis'", vol. Il l., page 13, the writer says that "to be immortal in good, one must illentify oneself with God ; to be immortal in evil, with Satan. These are the two poles of the world of Souls; betueen these two poles vegetate and die without rememinance the useless portion of mankind." In your explanatory note on this passage you quote the book of Khin-te, which says that "to force onesclf upou the current of immortality, or rather to secure for oneself an endless series of re-linths as conscions individualities, one must become a co-worker with nature, either for good or for bed, in her work of creation and reproduction or in that of destruction. It is but the useless dromes which she gets rid of, violently ejecting them aud making them perish by the millions as self-conscious entities. Thus while the good and pure strive to reach Nirvana, the wicked will seek, on the contrary, a series of lives as conscious, defimite existences or beings, profering to be ever suffering under the law of retributive justice rather than give up their lives as portions of the iutegral miversal whole. Being well aware that they can never hope to reach the final rest in pure spirit or Niremm, they ming to life in any form mather than give up that 'lesire for life,' or I'enhet, which causes a new aggregation of Shaneles, or iudiviluality to be re-born.

There are thoroughly wicked or deptaven men, yot as highly intellectual and acutcly spirituch for evil, as those who are spiritual for good. The efors of these may esenpe the law of final destruction or annilitation for ages to come.
Heat and cold are the two 'poles,' i.e., gool and evil, spivit and matter. Nature spues the 'lukewarm' or 'the useless portion of mankind' out of her mouth, i.en, annihilates them." In the very same number in which these lines occur we have the "Fragments of Occult Triuth," and we lean thence that there are seren cutities or principles constituting a human being. When death occurs, the first three principles (i.e., the ledy, the vital energy, and astral body) are dissipated; and with regand to the remaining four principles "one of tro things occurs." If the Spiritual Ego (sixth principle) has been in life material in its tenclencies, then at death it continnes to cling blindly to the lower elements of its late combination, and the true spinit severs itself from these and posses away elsewhere, when the Spiritual Ego is also dissipated and coases to exist. Uncer such circumstances only two entities (the fourth and difth, i.e., Kama. Rupa and Physical E(go) are left, and the shells take long periods to disintegrate.

On the other ham, if the tembencies of the ego have been towards things spiritual, it will eling to the spirit, and with this pass into the adjoining World of Effects, and there evolve out of itself by the spirit's aid a new ego, to be re-born (after a brief period of freedom and enjoyment) in the next higher objective world of causes.

The "Fragments" teach that, apart from the cases of the higher adepts, there are two conditious:- First, that in which the Spirit is obliged to sever its connection; and, secondly, that in which the Spirit is able to continue its connection with the fourth, fifth and sixth principles. In either case the fourth and fifth prineiples are dissipated after a longer or a shorter period, and, in the case of the spiritual-minded, the Spiritual Ero undergoes a scries of ascending births, white in the case of the depraved 110 Spiritual Ego remains and there is simply disintegration of the fourth and fifth priuciples after immense periods of time, The "Fragments" do not seem to admit of a third or
intermediary case which could explain the condition of Rliphas Levi's " useless portion" of mankind after death. It appears to me also that there could be only two cases -(1) cither the spicit contimues its comection, or (2) it severs its cmanction. What, then, is meant by the "useless portion of mankin"" who, you suggest, are aminiated by the millions! Are they a combination of less than seven principles? That caunot be, for even the very wicked and depraved have then all. What, then, becomes of the fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh principles in the case of the sn-called "useless pontion of manhand?"

The "Fragments" again tell us that, in the case of the wicked, the fourth and fifth principles are simply disintegrated after long ages, while in your above quoted note you say that the "wickel will seek a series of lives as conscious, definite existences or beings," and again in the note to the worl " Ilell" you write that it is "a world of nearly absolute matter, and one precoling the last one in the circle of necessity' from which there is no relcmption, for there reigns absolute darkness." 'Jhese two notos seem to suggest that, in the case of the depraved, the fourth and fifth priuciples are born asain in inferior worlds and have d series of conscious existences.

The "Fugments" are admittedly the proluction of the "Brothers," and what I could gather from them after a carcful perusal seems apparently not to accond with your notes quoted above. Evidently there is al gap somewhere, aul, as the " useless portion of mankind" have been so far noticel, a more exhanstive explanation of them after the mothod of the seven principles is needed to make your otherwise learned note accord with the "fragments." I might mention again that at overy step the words "mitter" and "spirit" confoum tho majority of your realers, and it is highly important and necessary that these two worls be satisfactorily explained so that the average reader might understand wherein lies the difference between the two; what is meant by matter emanating from spirit, and whether spirit does not beconse limited to that extent by the emanation of matter therefrom.

> Yours faithfully and fraternally,
N. D. K.—, F.T.S,
** *The apparent discrepancy betweenthetwostatements, that our corresponlent quotes, does not involve any real contradiction at all, nor is there a "gap" in the explatat: tion. The confitsion arises from the unfaniliarity of ordinary thinkers, mused to Occult inleas, with the distinc. tion between the personal and individual entitios in Man. Reference has been marle to this distinction in modem Occult writing very freprently, and in lsis itself where the explanations of a humdred mysteries lic but half buried,-they were altogether buried in earlicr works on Occult philosophy,-only waiting for the application of intelligenco guided by a little Ocenlt knowledge to come out into the light of day. When lsis was written, it was conceiver by those, -from whom the impulse, which directed its preparation, cane,--that the time was not ripe for the explicit declaration of a great many truths which they are now willing to impart in plain language. So the readers of that book were supplied rather with lints, sketches, and adumbrations of the philosophy to which it related, than with methodical expositions. Thus in reference to the present idea the difference between personal and indiviclual iclentity is suggested, if not fully set forth at page 315, vol. I. There it is stated as the view of certain philosophers, with whom, it is casy to see, the writer concurs:--" Man and Sonl had to conquer their immortality by ascending towards the Unity with which, if successful, they were finally linked. The individualisation of Man after death depended on the spirit, not on his sond and body. Althongh the word personality, in the sense in which it is usually understood, is an absurdity, if applied literally to our immortal essence, still the latter. is a distinct entity, immortal and eternal per se." And a
little later on:--"A person may have won his immortal life, and remain the same imer self he was on earth throughout eternity, but this does not imply necessarily that lie must remain the Mr. Sinith or Mr. Brown le was on earth."

A full consideration of these ideas will solve the embarrassment in which our correspondentisplaced. Eliphas Levi is talking about personalities-the " Fragments" about individualities. Now, as regards the personalities, the "useless portion of mankind" to which Eliphas Levi refers, is the great bulk thereof. The permanent preservation of $a$ personal identity beyond death is a very rare achievement, accomplished only by those who wrest her secrets from Nature, and control their own super-material development. In his favourite symbulical way Eliphas Levi indicates the people who contrive to ilo this as those who are immortal in good by identification with God, or immortal in evil by identification with Satan. That is to say, the preservation of personal identity beyond death (or rather; let us say, far beyond death, reserving for the moment an explanation of the distinction) is accomplished only by adepts and sorcerers-the one class having acquired the supreme secret knowledge by loly methods, and with benevolent motives; the other laving acquired it by unlioly methods, and for base motives. But that which constitutes the imer self, the purer portions of the earthly personal soul umited with the spiritual principles and constituting the essential iudiviluality, is ensured a perpetuation of life in new births whether the person, whose earthly suroundings are its present habitat, becomes endued with the higher knowletge, or renains a plain ordinary man all his life.

This cloctrine camot be treated as one which falls in at once with the vicu of things ontertainol by people whose conceptions of immortality have been compted by the ignoble teaching of modern clurches. Fow exoterie religions ask their devotees to lift their imaginations above the conception that life beyond the grave is a sort of prolongation of life on this side of it. They are encouraged to believe that thromgly "etemity," if they are good in this life, they will live on in some luxurious Heaven just as they would be living if transported to some distant country, miraculously protected there from disease and decay, and continuing for ever the "Mr. Smith or Mr. Brown" they may lave been previons to omigration. 'Ilae conception is just as absurd, when closely thought ont, as the couception that for the merits or the sins of this brief life-but a moment in the course of etemity-they will bo able to secure infinite bliss, or incur the utmost horrors of perpetual punishment. Ends and means, causes and effects, must be kept in due proportion to oue auother in the workls of spirit as in the worlds of Hesh. It is nonsense for a man who has not first rendered his persomality something altogether abnormal to conceive that it can be rationally thought of as surviving for ever. It would be folly to wish even that it could be so perpetuated, for, how could human beings of ignoble, miserable life, whese personality is merely a congeries of wretehed and sordid memorics, be lappy in finting their misery stereotyped for all coming time, and in perpetual contrast with the superior personalities of other such stereotypes. Tho memory of every personal life, inteed, is inperishably preserved in the mysterions records of each existence, and the immortal individual spiritual entity will one day,-but in a future so remote that it is harily worth thinking a bout muchat present,--be able to look back upon it, asupon one of the pages in the vast book of lives which he will by that time have compiled. Put let us come back from these very transcendental reflections to the destinies more immediately impending over the great majurity of us whom Eliphas Levi so meivilly speaks of as "the useless portion of ratnkind"-uscless only, be it remembered, as regards our special present congeries of earthly circumstances-not as regards the inner-self which is destined to active enjoyment of life amd experience very
often in the future among better circumstances, both on this earth and in superior planets.
Now, most people will be but too apt to feel that unsatisfactory as the circumstances may be, which constitute their present personalities, these are after all themselves-" a poor thing, Sir, but mine own,"-and that the inner spiritual monads, of which they are but very dimly conscious, by the time they are unitel with entirely different sets of circumstances in new birtiss, will be other people altogether in whose fate they cannot take any interest. In truth when the time comes they will find the fate of those people profoundly interesting, as much so as they find their own fates now. But passing over this branch of the subject, there is still some consolation for weak brethren who find the notion of quitting their present personality at the end of their present lives too gloomy to be borne. Eliphas Levi's exposition of the doctrine is a very brief one, as regrards the passage quoted-and it passes over a great deal which, from the point of view we are now engaged with, is of very great importance. In talking about immortality the great Occultist is thinking of the vast stretches of time over which the personality of the adept and the sorcerer may be made to extend. When he speaks of anmihilation after this life, le ignores a certain interval, which may perhaps be not worth considering in reference to the enormons whole of existence, but which none the less is very well worth the attention of people who cling to the little fragment of their life experience which embodies the persomality of which we have been talking.

It has been explained, in more than one paper published in this magazine during the last few months, that the passage of the spiritual monad into a re-birth does not immediately follow its release from the Heshly body last inhabited here. In the Kima-loka, or atmosphere of this earth, the soparation of the two groups of cthereal principles takes place, and in the vast majority of cases in which the late lersonality, - the fifth principle yields up somothing which is susceptible of perpetuation and of union with the sixth,-the spiritual. monad thus retaining conscionsness of its late personality for the time being passes into the state described as Derachan, where it leals, for very long periods indeed as compared with those of life on this earth, an existence of the most malloyed satisfaction and conscious enjoyment. Of course this state is not one of activity nor of exciting contrasts between pain and pleasure, pursuit and achievement, like the state of physical life, but it is one in which the personality of which we are spoaking is perpetuated, as far as that is compatible with the non-perpetuation of that which has been painful in its experience. It is from this state that the spiritual monad is re-born into the next active life, and from the date of that re-birth the old persumality is done with. But for any imagination, which fiuds the conception of re-birtl and new personality uncomfortable, the doctrine of Devachan-and these "doctrines," be it remembered, are statements of scientific fact which Adepts have ascertained to bo as real as the stars though as far out of reach for most of us,- the doctrine of Devachan, we say, will furnish people who camot give up their earth life memories all at once,-with a soft place to fall upon.

## THE" THLOSOPIIST"S" IMAGE IN THE " AmRROR."

From a very long and kindly appreciative notice of our magazine, which appeared in the Indian Alirror of 29 th Angust, and for which the Editor has our warm thanks, wo are tompted to quote the following paragraphs, as they serve as an answer to the hostile writers of England and Anerica, who have been exulting over the supposed loss by our Suciety of the esteem and affection of the Hindus:-
"One of the most interesting journals of the day, which has an especial interest for Hindus who feel a real love for their comntry, its religion, literature, and scicnces, is the;

Theosophist, published at Bombay. It is elited with great ability by Madame H. P. Blavatsky. We have before us the numbers for July and August, 1882. Besides Theosoplists, the publication has a special interest for the general reader on account of the variety of matter it contains. The subseription is only Rs. \& per annum; and the subscriber gets more than his money's worth. . . Thic Thfosophist is a true friend of the Indian people, and no less so of the Bengalis. . . . . Uuder the licading of "Swami Dayanand's Charges," in the Extra Supplement to the Tinosorinser for July, 1882, extending over 18 pages, we find a most complete and masterly defence of the fomeders against the charges brought against thent by Swami Dayanaurl. The paper should be carefully read and digested by all who took a delight in making a parade of the Swami's charges.
"A magazine, which has succeeded, and is trying to do so much good to us, certainly descrves the most unstinted support of out community, especially as it is replete with so much that is botlo attractive and interesting beyond the run of erdinary publications of the class.
"From this number we learn that the Parent Society has founded a Medal of Honour to be awarded to the - Native author of the best original essay upon any subject connected with the ancient religions, philosoplies, or sciences; preferonce being given (in the Department of Science), other things being equal, to the Occult or mystical branch of seience as known and practised by the ancients.' If this competition is freely and fully entered into, we have no doubt that much of the neglected knowledge of our forefathers will, in timo, be brought to the light of day."

## DISCRIMINATION OF SPIRIT AND NOTspirit:

(Translated from the original Sanskivit of Sankitura dekarya.) by moimede m. chatterdee, f.t.s.
[An apology is senreely needed for undertaking a translation of Sankara $\Lambda$ charya's celebrated Synopsis of Vedantism entitled "Atmánátma Vivekal." Thislittle treatise, within a small compass, fully sets forth the seope and purpose of the Velanta philosoply. It has been a matter of no little wonder. considering the authorship of this pamphet and its own intrinsic merits, that a translation of it has unt alrealy been exceuted by some compelent scholar. The present transhation, though pretending to no scholarship, is dutifully literal execpt, howerer, the omission of a few lines relating to the etymology of the words \{ार रिर (Sarim) and देह (Deha) and ne or two other things which, though interesting in themselves, have no direct bearing on the main sulbject of treatment. Some other passages in the texthave also, for the convenipuce of readers, been removed to an appendix at the end.--Tre.]

Nothing is Spirit which can be perceived by the senses. To one possessed of right discrimination, the Spirit is like a thing perceptible. This right discrimination of Spirit and Not-spirit is set forth in millions of treatises.

The discrimination of Spirit and Not-spirit is given (below):-
Q. Whence comes pain to the Spirit?
A. By reason of its taking a body. It is said in the Sruti*: "Not in this (state of existence) is there cessation of pleasure and pain of a living thing possessed of a body."
Q. By what is produced this taking of a body?
A. By Karma. $\dagger$
Q. Why does it become so by Karma?
A. By desire and the rest (i.e., the passions.)
Q. By what are desire and the rest produced?
A. By egoism (अभिमान:).
Q. By what again is egoism produced?
$A$. By want of right discrimination.

- Chandogya Upanishat.-Tr.
t This word, it is impossible to translate. It means the cloing of a thing for the attainment of an object of worldly clesire.-Tr.
Q. By what is this want of right discrimination produced ?
A. By ignorance (अज्ञानं).
Q. Is ignorance produced by anything ?
A. No, by nothing. Ignorance is without beginning and ineffable by reason of its leing the intermingling of the real (सत्) and the unreal (असत् ).* It is a somothing embodying the three qualitiest and is said to be opposed to Wisdom inasmuch as it produces the concept "I am ignorant." The Sruti says, " (Ignorance) is the power of the Deity and is enshrouded by its own qualities." +

The origin of pain can thus be traced to ignorance and it will not cease until ignorance is cutirely dispelled, which will be only when the identity of the Self with Brahma (the Universal Spirit) is fully realized.|| Anticipating the contention that the etermal acts (i.e., those enjoined by the Vedas, , are proper and would therefore lead to the destruction of ignorance, it is said that ignorance cannot be dispelled by Karma. Then-
Q. What comes of such acts ?
A. Contict of Wisdom and Karma. Therefore it is clear that. Ignorance can only be removed by Wisdom.
Q. How can this Wisdom be acquired?
A. By discussion-by discussing as to the nature of Spirit and Not-spirit.
Q. Who are worthy of engaging in such discussion?
A. Those who have aequired the four qualifications.
Q. What are the four qualifications?
$A$. (1), True discrimination of permanent and impermanent things. (2), Indifference to the enjoyment of the fruits of one's actions both here and hereafter. (3), Possession of Sama and the other five qualities. (4), An intense desire of becoming liberated (from material existence).
(1.) $Q$. What is the right discrimination of permanent and impermanent things ?
A. (ertainty as to the Material Universe being false, and illusive and Bralma being the ouly reality.
(2.) Indifforence to the enjoyment of the fruits of one's actions in this worle is to have the same amount of disinclination for the enjoyment of worldly objects of desire (such as garland of flowers, sandalwood paste, women and the like) beyond those absolutely necessary for the preservation of life, as one has for vomited food, \&c. The same amount of disinclination to enjoyment in the society of Rambha, Urvasi aml other celestial nymphs in the higher spheres of life beginning with Svarga loke and ending with Brahma lola.§
(3.) $Q$. What are the six qualities beginning with Sama?
A. Sama, rlama, uparati, titikshí, samädhana and sradilhí.

Sama is the repression of the inward sense called Manas, i.c., not allowing it to engage in any other thing but Sravanu (listening to what the sages say about the spirit), Manance ब (reflecting on it), Nididhyasana (meditating on the same). Dama is the repression of the external senses.
Q. What are the extermal senses?
A. The five organs of perception and the five bodily organs for the performance of external acts. Restraining these from all other things but srovana and the rest-is dama.

[^0]Uparati is the abstaining on principle from engaring in any of the acts and ceremonies enjoined by the shastras. Otherwise, it is the state of the mind which is always engaged in Sravana and the rest, without ever diverging from them.

Titikshu (literally the desire to leave) is the bearing with indifference all opposites (such as pleasure and pain, heat and cold, \&c.). Otherwise, it is the showing of forbearance to a person one is capable of punishing.

Whenever a mind, engaged in Sravana and the rest, wanders to any worldly object of desire, and, finding it worthless, returns to the performance of the three exercises -such returning is called samodhana,

Sraddha is an intensely strong faith in the uttoranoos of one's guru and of the Vedanta philosophy.
(4.) An intense desire for liberation is called mamulishatvam.

Those who possess these four qualifications, are worthy of engaging in discussions as to the mature of Spirit and Not-spirit, and, like Brahmacharins, they have no other duty (but such discussion). It is not, however, at all improper for householders to engage in such discussions; but, on the contrary, such a course is highly meritorions. For it is said:-Whoever, with due reverence, engages in the discussion of subjects treated of in Velanta philosophy and does proper service to his guru, reaps happy truits. Discussion as to the nature of Spirit and Not-spirit is therefore a duty.

> (To be continued.)

## IS SUICIDE A CRIME?

The writer in the London Spiritualist for November, who calls the "Fragments of Occult Truth" speculation-spinuing, can hardly, I think, apply that epithet to Fragment No. 3, so cautiously is the lyypothesis concerving suicide advanced therein. Viewed in its general aspect, the hypothesis scems sound enongh, satisfies our instinets of the Moral Law of the Universe, and fits in with our ordinary idens as well as with those wo have derivel from seience. The inference drawn from the two cases citel, viz., that of tho selfish suicide on the one hand, and of the unselfish suicide on the other, is that, although the after-states may vary, the result is invariably bat, the variation consisting only in the degree of punishment. It nppears to me that, inarriving at this conclusion, the writer conld not have had in his mind's eye all the possible cases of suicide, which do or may occur. For I maintain that in some cases self-sucrifice is not only justifiable, butalso morally desirable, and that the result of such self-sacrifice camot possibly be bad. I will put one case, perhaps the rarest of all rare cases, but not necessarily on that account a purely hypothetical one, for I know at least one man, in whom I am interested, who is netuated with feelings, not dissimilar to these I slall now describe, and who would be deeply thankful for any additional light that could be thrown on this darkly mysterious subject.(See Editor's Note 1.)

Suppose, then, that an individual, whom I shall call M., takes to thinking long and deep on the vexed questions of the mysteries of earthly existence, its aims, and the highest dutios of man. To assist his thoughts, he turns to philosophical works: notably those dealing with the sublime teachings of Buddla. Ultimately he arrives at the conclusion that the whas and ondr am of existence is to be useful to our fellow men ; that fuilure in this constitutes his own worthessuess asa sentient human being, and that by continuing a life of worthlessness he simply dissipates the energy which he holds in trust, and which, so holding. he has no right to fritter away. He tries to be useful. but-miserably and deplorally fails. What then is his remedy? Remember there is here "no sea of tronbles" to "take arms against," no outraged humna law to dread, no deserved earthly panishment to escape ; in fact, there is no moral cowardice whatever iuvolved in the self-steritice. M. simply puts an end to an existence which is useless, and which therefore fails of its own primary purpose. Is his act not justifiable? Or must he also be the vietim of that transformation into spook and pisacha, against which Fragment No. 3 utters its dread warning? (2.)

Perhaps, M. may secure at the next birth more favourable conditions, and thus be better ahie to work out the purpose of Being. Well, he cam sentely be worse ; for, in addition to his being inspired ly a ladable motive to make way for one who might he more serviceable, he lans not, in this particular: case, been guitty of any moral turpitule. (3.)

But I have not done. I qoa step further and say that M. $\mathrm{i}^{\text {s }}$ not only useless, but positively mischievons. To his incapacity to do goot, he fiuds that he adhs a somewhat restess disposition which is perpetnally urging him on to make an effort to do good. M. makes the effort-lie would be atterly mworthy the name of man if he did not make it-and discovers that his incapacity most generally leals him into errors which convert the possible grood into actual evil: that, on aceome of his mature, birth, and colucation, a very large momber of men become involved in the effect; of his mistaken zeal, and that the world at large suffers more from his existence than otherwise. Now, if, after arriving at such results, M. seeks to carry out their logical conclusion, viz., that being morally bound to diminish the woes to whien sentient beings on earth are subject, he should destroy himself, and by that means do the only good ho is capable of ; is there, I ank, any moral guilt involved in the uet of anticipating death in such a case? I, for one, should certainly say not. Nay, more, I maintain, sulject of consse to correction by superior knowledge, that M. is not only jastified in making away with himself, but that he wond bo a villain if he didnot, nt once and unhesitatingly, put mend to a life, not only useless, but positivaly pernicious. (4.)

M, may be in orror ; but supposing he dies cherishing the happy Malusion that in death is all the good, in life all the evil he is camble of, ure there in his case no extenuating ciremmstances to plead strongly in his favour, and help, to avert a fint into that, horribleabyss with which your readers have been frightoned? ( 5.$)$
M.'s, I repeat, is no lypothetical case. Itistory teems with instances of worthless and pernicions lives, carried on to the bitter enl to the ruin of nations, Look at the nuthors of the French Revolution, burning with as andent a bove for their fellowmen as ever fired the human breast; look at thent crimson with innocent hood, bringing anntemble distaters on their combtry in Liberty's saered mame! apmarently how strong! in ronlity how pitifully weak ! What a wouful result of incapacity has been theirs? Conld they but have seen with M.'s eyes, would they not have been his prototypes? Mhessed, indeed, had it been for Fance, if they had anticipated M.?

Agrain, look at George III. of Bugham, a well-meanims, yet an incapable Sovercign, who, atter reigning for a number of years, left his comutry distracted and impoverishal by foreign wars. torn by internal dissensions, and separated from at kinded race across the Athatic, with the liberties of his subjects trampled under foot, and virtue prostituted in the Cabinet, in Parliament and on the Hustings. His correspondenco with Lord North and others abmandly proves that to his selfsufliciency, well-meming though it be, most be tracel the calamities of Grent Britain and Irelam, calamities from the effects of which the United Kingdom has not yer fally recovered. Happy had it been for England if this ruler han, like M., seen the uselessness of his life and nipped it, as M. minght do, in the bud of its pernicions career :

AN INQUIRER.

## EDTPOR'S NOTES.

(1.) "Inquirer" is not an ()ccultist, hence his assertion that in some cases suicide "is not only justifiable, butalso morally desirable." No more than inmoder, is it ever justifiable, however desirable it may sonetimes appear. The Oceultist, who looks at the origin and the ultimate end of thing's, teaches that the individual-- who atfirms that auy man, umber whatsoever circumstances, is called to put an end to his life,--is guilty of as great an oftence and of as pemicious a piece of sophistry, as the nation that assumes a right to kill in war thomambs of mocent people under the pretext of avenging the wrong done to one. All such reasonings are the fruits of Avily: mistaken for philosophy and wisdom. One friend is certainly wrong in thinking that the writer of Framments arrived at his conclusions only because he failed to keep before his mind's eye all the possible cases of suicides. The result, in one sense, is certainly invariable; aud there is but we genemal
law or rule for all suicides. But, it is just because "the nfter-states" vary ad-infinitum, that itisas erroneous to infer that this variation comsivis only in the degree of punishment. If the result will be in every case the necessity of living out the appointed period of sentient existence, we do not see whence" Inquirer" has derived his motion that "the result is invariably bad." The result is full of clangers; but there is hope for certain suicides, and even in many cases a bewaris if life was sachificed to save other LIVES and that there was no other alternative for it. Let him real para. 7, page 318, in the September 'T'ineosopiust, and reflect. Of course, the cquestion is simply generalised by the writer. 'Io treat exhanstively of all and every caso of suicide and their after-states would require a shelf of volumes from the British Museum's Library, not our Fragments.
(2.) No man, we repeat, has a right to put an end to his existence simply because it is useless. As well argue the necessity of inciting to suicide all the incurable invalifis and cripples who are a constant source of misery to their families; and preach the moral beauty of that law among some of the savage tribes of the Soutl Sea Islanders, in obedience to which they put to death, with warlike honours, their old men and women. The instance chosen by "Inquirer" is not a happy one. There is a vast difference between the man who parts with his life in sheer disgust at constant failnre to do goorl, out of despair of ever being useful, or even ont of dread to do injury to his fellow-men by remaining alive; and one who gives it up voluntarily to save the lives either committed to his charge or dear to lim. One is a hadf insane mis-anthrope-the other, a hero and a martyr. One tades away his life, the other offers it in sacrifice to philanthropy and to his duty. The captain who remains alone on board of a sinking slip ; the man who gives up his place in a boat that will not hold all, in favour of younger and weaker beings ; the physician, the sister of charity, and nurse who stir not from the bed-side of patients dying of an infectious fever ; the man of science who wastes his life in brain-work and fatigue and hoows he is so wasting it and yet is offering it day after day and night after night in order to discover some great law of the universe, the discovery of which may bring in its results some great boon to mankind; the mother that throws herself before the wild beast, that attacks her children, to screen and give them the time to fly; all these are not suicides. The impulse which prompts them thus to contravene the first great law of animated nature-the first instinctive impulse of which is to preserve life-is grand and noble. And, though all these will lave to live in the Kama Lok:a their appointed life term, they are yet admired by all, and their memory will live honoured among the living for a still longer period. We all wish that, upon similar occasions, we may have courage so to die. Not so, surely in the case of the man instanced by "Inquirer." Notwithstanding bis assertion that "there is no moral cowardice whatever involved" in such self-sacrifice-we call it decidedly "moral cowardice" and refuse it the name of sacrifice.
(3 and 4.) There is far more courage to live than to die in most cases. If "M." feels that he is "positively mischievous,' let him retire to a jungle, a desert island; or, what is still better, to a cave or hut near some big city; and then, while living the life of a hermit, a life which would preclude the very possibility of doing mischief to any one, work, in one way or the other, for the poor, the starving, the afflicted. If he does that, no one can" become involved in the cffects of his mistaken zcal," whereas, if he has the slightest talent, he can benefit many by simple manual labour carried on in as complete a solitude and silence as can be commanded under the circumstances. Auything is better-even being called a crazy philan-thropist-than committing suicide, the most dastardly and cowardly of all actions, unless the felo de se is resorted to, in a fit of insanity.
(5.) "Inquirer" asks whether his "M." must also be victim of that transformation into spook and pisacha! Judging by the delineation given of his character, by his friend, we should say that, of all suicides, he is the most likely to become a séance-room spook. Guiltless "of auy moral turpitude," he may well be. But, siuce he is afflicted with a "restless disposition which is perpetnally urging him on to make an effort to do gool"-liere, on earth, there is no reason we know of, why lie should lose that unfortunate disposition (unfortumate because of the constant failure)-in the Kama Lola. A "mistaken zeal" is sure to lead him on toward various melliums. Attracted by the strong magnetic desire of sensitives and spiritualists, "M." will probably feel "morally bound to diminish the woes to which these sentient beings (mediums and believers) are subject on earth," and shallonce more destroy, not only bimself, but his "affinities" the mediums.

## TIIOUGIITS UPON THE RELIGIOUS PROBLEME

of A FIRST CAUSE.*
by L. a. SANDERS, F.T.S.
Our intellect is a force of Will, its highest, subtlest force, laving the power to command all upon eartl. (as Will becomes intellectual in the adept.), while, in remaining Nature, it is unconscious and without intellect.

Time has the notions past and future, begiming and end, but these untions belong altogether to intellect alone ; there is 110 subjective Time. Time begant with the a wakening of intellect in Nature, and its notions, past and future, are the plan upon which our iutellect must think; time produces the necessity for aprehending causality, i.e., clanges in succession. Now, changes in succession and eternity are heterogencous. The first are in Time, as Time is in Intellect. Eternity is a worl which we use in order to designate that which is not Time. It is only a word, not even a notion; we think nothing by it, because, when we attempt to analyse $j$ t, we are always forced to imagine something in the way of a long, long Time, a Time without end; and but prove by that, that for Eternity, we have no adequate concept in our intellect. We can think only of Time. Causality, begimning, end, past. future-are notions of Time, and in Time are the structures, the plan upon which our intellect must operate. Intellect began and will end like time, like cansality, like past, like future, when intellect ends itself. Eternity belongs to Nirvana, which has maght to do with all these things all these notions. A first cause is unthinkable and cannot exist beyond Nature, beyond us, because oyr intellect itself, when trying to imagine it, acts after the law of causality, its own form, because our intellect makes the beginning and there is nothing else, as matter is causality.

We see and think mature such, because we ourselves are Nature and our intellect is also Nature; not something apart, looking upou something else.

By attempting to think a first cause, we try to think our intellect. Matter is force, is intellect, is causality, is will, a circle, and we ourselves are will. The time for philosophy has come, the time for thinking is here, and the above are broad hints for frients.

Borneo, July, 1882.

- Soe Taeosofaist, April, $18 S 2$.
+In each world-cycle. - En

SUPERIORITY OF HINDUISM TO OTHER LXISTING RELIGIONS：AS VIEWED FROM TIIE STAND－POINT OF TUEISAF．
（Continued from the October Number．） BY BABU RAJ NALALN BOSG：， I＇resident of the Atli Brokmo Semej．
（Trunstuted into Englisk ly the Author．）
＂I only hand on，I eamot create new things，I beleve in the ancionts antl，thercfure，I love them．＂－C＇onfucies．

In one place of the Mahanirvan T＇antra，the duties of a knower of the One True God are beatifully described． The gol Shiva is represented as saying to his beloved consort，Parvati ：－

यतों जग戸ंम्ललाय त्वयाहं विनियोजित：।
अतसते कभयिष्पांमि यद्येश्वहितकृत् भवेत्। कृते विश्धहिते दोवि विक्धेशः पररमेश्वरः
प्रीतो भवति विश्वाल्भा य्तोविश्च नदाश्रितं।।
स एक एव सद्रप：सत्यो। डद्दैतः परात्परः।
स्वपकाश：सदापूएं：सनिद्वानन्दलक्षण：॥ नि居वकारो। निराधारों निर्विशोषो निराकुलः। गुणातीतः सर्वसाक्षी सर्वाँमा सर्वृद्रक्र् विभु：॥ गूढ：सर्वेषु भूतेषु सर्वव्यापी सनातनः। सर्वेन्द्रियगुणाभास：सर्वेन्द्रिय विवर्जित：॥ लोकातीतों लेकहेतुरवांमनसगोंचरः। संबतित्ति विश्वं सर्वज्नसंतं न जानाति कश्भन ॥ तदधीनं जगत् सर्वं त्रेकाक्यं सचराचरं। तदालम्वनत स्रितष्ठे दवितक्ष्य मिदं जगत् ॥ तत् सत्यता मुपाश्क्य सदूद्माति ृृथक् पृथक्। तेनैव हेतुभूतने बयं जाता महेश्वरि ॥ फारणं सर्वभूतानां स एकः परमेशरः। लोकेषु सृष्टिकरणात् स्रष्टा व्हहाते गीयते ॥ यद्र भयाद्वाति वातोऽपि सूर्यस्तपति यद्मयात्। चर्षन्ति तेायदाः काल पुण्पन्ति तरवो वने।। कालं कारुयते काले मृर्त्यु मृत्युं भियो भयं। वेदान्त वेद्यो भगवान् यत् तच्छद्दोपलक्षितः॥ घहनात्र किमुक्末ेन तवाग्रे कथ्यते पिये।

＂O Guddess ！of Him who has appointed thee and me for the good of the universe，I shall tell thee so that the world may be benefitted，if one do good to the world，God， the lord and the soul of the world，by whom the world is supported，is pleased．He is one only，the only reality，the trutl，withont a second，superior to ull，the all－manifest，the all－perfect．He is truth itself，intelligence itself，and felicity itself．He is without form，unsupported，immutable，withont sorrow，devoid of material qualities，all－witnessing，the soul of all，the all－seer，everywhere present．He is the hidden in all things，omipnescnt and eternal．He enableth the senses to manifest their powers，but He is himself devoid of the senses．He is beyond the world， but the cause of the world．He is beyond the reach of speech or mind．That omniscient God knows all，but none knows Him．The whole miverse existeth as indis－ putable trutl，supported by Him．＇These different objects exist as true through his truthfulness．O great Goddess ： we have been created by that canse of existences．He is the canse of all，the one only Goul．Men sing of him as the Creator and the Supreme on account of his having ereated this work．Through whose fear the wind bloweth， the sun shineth，the trees blossom in the forest，time timeth，death killeth，and fear maketh men afraid；who
is mentioned in the Vedant by the word＇ He ＇－of Him what more shall I tell you，my beloved？He is to be coutemplated，He is to be worshipped．His worship is easy．Without His worship there could be no salvation，＇

Again－
अरिमन् धर्मे महेशित स्यात् सल्यचादी जितेन्द्रियः।
पऱोपकारनिरतो निर्विकार：सदाशयः ॥
मात्सर्य्यम्मीनो। Sदर्मीच दयावान् भ्रुद्धमानसः।
मातापित्रो：प्रीतिकारी तयोः सेवनतत्पर：।।

यतालमा दृढ नुद्यि：स्यात् साक्षार् न्रमेति भानयन्॥
न मिथ्याभाषएां कुर्यान्न परानिष्टचिन्तनम्।
परस्सीगमनंचैव क्रम्हमत्री विवर्जयेत्।
तत् सदिति वदेद्वेवि पारम्षे सर्वकर्मणणा।

येनेपायेन मर्म्यानां लेकमात्रा प्रासेद्धाते।
तदेव कार्यं त्रम्ह末ैरिदंद धम⿱艹⿰㇀丶 सनातनम् ॥
＂He who embraces this religion（the recligion of the One True（Gol）should be truthful，of wall－stiblued senses， devoted to the good of others，without hatred，without malice，without pride，well－meaning，kind，pure－hearted， and devoted to the service of his parents，always doing what is pleasing to them．He should often hear of God， he should often think of God；lee should often search after Goul．He should be of a well－regulated heart，and have fimmess of mind．He should think that Gorl is always prescnt before him．He should not utter any falschood，nor think of injuring others．He who has been initiated into the knowledge of the One＇rime（Goul，should refrain from adultery．At the beginning of every act，he should pronounce the worls＇Om Tat Sat，＇i．e．，＇God is Creatorand Preserverand Destroyer．Heonly really existeth．＇， After latving done eating，drinking，or any other act，lie should say，＇Bramhurtpanca－mustu，＇＇i．e．，＇This I make over＇ to God．＇＇Ihat by which the world is well－guided，that is the eternal religion，that is the religion of the knower of the One＇True God．＂

Again－

> याचिकं कायिकं चापि मानसं वा यथामतेः।
> आराधने परेशास्य भावशुाद्वि बिधियते।।
> पूजने परमेशाष्य नावाहन विसर्जंने।
> सर्वत्र सर्वकालेषु साधयेदन्रमहसाधनं।!अ天नातों चा कृतस्त्रतें भुकोषाषि वुभुक्षित:।
> पूजयेत् परमाॅमानं सदा निर्म्मलमानस: ।
＂In the worship of God，purity of speech，body and mind is required．In his worship，there is no invocation or throwing away into water（as in the case with idols）．At all times and all places one should worship Him．One should alore Him with a pure heart after having bathed，or without doing so，after having taken his meal，or before doing so．＂

Again－

## भक्ष्याभक्ष्य विचारोडत्र लज्यग्र। क्लो न विद्यते। नकालड़ुद्धि नियमो नवा ₹थान निरूपणं।।

＂In this religion there are no scruples about what is to be eaten or not to be caten，about what is to be rejected or accepted．There is no rule about auspicious hours，or about place of worship．＂

Again－
बाहलय मन्त्र मंहेशानि विचारो नारित कुत्राचित्।
स्शयमत्रं गुरूर्दद्यात् शिष्येम्येाम्ह्यविचारयन् ॥
पितापि दीक्षयेत् पुत्रान् भ्नाता भ्रातुन् पतेखियं।
मातुलो भागिनेयांध्ध नम्नून् मातामहाषिच।।
" O great goddess: respecting initiation into the knowledge of Corl, there is no regard of persons. The spiritual teacher shomh initiate without any such regard. The father can initiate his som, the brother his brother, the hasband his wife, the mate his nephew, and the grambfather his srand-som."

I have slown above the especial superionity of Jnankauda, or higher Hindnism (Sreshtha Adhikare), to other religions after having shown the superiority of Hinduism in gencral. While freating of the superiority of Hinduism in genemal, I showed that taking tho spint of even that portion of llimhism, which is called the lower religion (Kanistlur Achikara), it is superior to other religions. This superiority is, however, most conspicnous in the Ihemliendia or the Sresthlu A dhilizure. Whoever reals the Slokas yuoted above, will clearly perceive frow them that the aseent from the religion of diankanda, especially the religion of the Fedant or U Uamishand to Brahmoism, is very casy. The Slokas phanly show how near is Bmhmoism to Ilimhusm, and how casily has tho later been developed into the fomer. Hindusin, thong gradual improvement, has become liahmmism. Jathmoisn is miversal and unsectarian celigion, because its truths are common to all felgions and it almite mon of all mations and races within its pale* Hinduism has, by prowession dovelopment, assmoed in Bathmoism a form which is perfectly catholic. But, becanse, Bahmoism is miversal and masectarian religion, hoes it necessarily follow that it is not Hinduism? Suppose l saw a man hamed Ranchandra, when be was but five years olly. Now, that he has reached his thirticth year, and, with the lapse of years, lis form and aspect liave maderame considerable change- - loes it follow that he is not the same Ramehamdan still whom I saw thirty years ngo ? 'The llimhlusm of the age of the Rig-Vcola has, by neans of grathal improvement and correction, become Brahmoism. Does it, fillow, therefore, that it is no longer Himhism? It is just as true to say that Brahmoism is Hinduism for the reason that the lateer has been gradually developed into the formor, and that, therefore, the former is the highest developed form of the latter, as it would be to say that Bramboism is miversal religion, for the reason that its truthsare common to all religions, and that it admits mon of all mations and races witlin its pale. A Brahmo or Hindu theist has as much right to call his religion the highest developed form of Hinduism as a Christian or Mahomedan Theist has to call his the highest dereloped form of Christianity or Mahomedanisn. That very knowledge and worship of Brabma, which, from ancient times, were confined to the learned, is now being preached to, and propagated among, the mass in a purer shape. In days of ofl, the Upanishads were studied only by the Rishis wholived in forests, whence these treatises obtained the name of a iremyalict, but now are they read by all : Then, in those days when education was not at all diffised anong the people and society was enveloped by the dense gloom of profound ignorance, the generality of men coukd not compreliend the formess Bralma and the Rishis justly apprehended that the knowledge of the One True God would be corrupted and abused by ignorant mon, umable to grasp the stme if it be imparted to them. But those days have gone by. Now, as the light of knowledge is being diffused among all ranks of society, there is no cause for such apprehension. Now the facilities have very much increased for raising the men who are in the Kanisthes Adliliara or lowerstage of religion to the higher hy means of instruction. It has, thesefore, become incmmbent יpon all Brahmos or Hinch Theists to instruct the mass in the knowledge of the One True God.

On consideration it will appear that Hinduism is, like the ocean, a great store-house of gems. In this respect it

[^1]can be compared to the Indian Ocean. As there are material gems without number in the Indian Occan, so there are spiritual gems without number in Hinduism. The Hindu needs not go anywhere else for religious knowledge. I quote below what our vencrable President* has sation this subject in one of his works:-
" $A$ man ned not be of a particular nation or of a particular country in order to be a knower or speaker of Brahma or the One True God. A Brahmo of any country has a right to instruct those of another in the knowlelge of God. We have, however, an especial igght to the jewels of truth communicated by the speakers of Got who flourished in ancient India. In mattor of religion, we are rich in paternal wealth; that wealth is the best that we possess ; we have got it in abundance from our forefathers; we need not beg it from any other nation. India is the primeval seat of religion. No other country, no other nation has a religion so ancient as that of the Vedas. The first religion that appeared in the world was the Vedic religion. In the dimly ancient period when the Vealic Suktas issued from the simple and pure hearts of the Rishis of Judia, other lands were wrapped in the dankness of ignorance. Poetical numbers first took rise in India. And this sacred invention of man was first offered to the feet of Him who avards the fruits of our religious acts. God has made India the great mine of religion, and even up to this time the jewels it contains have not been exhansted. Jhe Himalayas are no less India's, the Indian Ocean and the Ganges are 110 less hers, than are the Vedas, the Upanishads, and the Puranas. Nowhere was the subject of religion more cultivated than in India. The Indians are by nature lovers of religion. 'Illoey view religion in a more serious light than any other nation. Nowhere is to bo seen suth regard for religion-such dependence on God. Do what they will, build a loouse, or journey to any place, they must first take the name of Him on whon all success depends, before doing the same. Even when they write a common letter, they do not forget Ciod. They commence it with his name. Those nations whose minds are not so deeply imbued with religious feelings cannot understand these things. This religious spinit has cone down from the earliest period of the Vedic religion. One particular nation has won celebrity in one particular thing-onein war, another in commerce, a third in art, and a fourth in religion. India, if it has nothing else to boast of, has yet a superiority in religion and morality above the rest of the world. Thie superior modesty and chastity of our lndian women will amply testify to this fuct. We need go to other nations for a sounder knowledge of politics, of arts, of commerce, of military science, but we need go to no nation for religious and moral instruction."

The comprehensiveness and superiority of Hinduism are beatifully described in the above words of our President. I think that the circumstances of other nation's teaching religion to Hindus looks very much like putting on the airs of a grand-uncle before a grand-uncle. If wo consider the character of Hinduism, we are led to think that this religion will never perish. As long as India will exist, so long will Hinduisn exist. Many people say that Hinduism will perish. Their assertion is unfounded. Who can destroy this religion? The Buddhists tried to destroy it, but they were unsuccessful. The Mahomedans made every attempt to do so, but they were not able to slake it in the least. The Christian missionaries have come to propagate their religion in this country, but, seeing the strength of Hinduism, have commenced to sound a retreat. In a speech lately delivered by Dr. Duff in Scotland, he said that Indian philosophy is so comprehensive, that counterparts of all systems of Furopean philosoplyy are to be found in it. Surely it must be extremely difficult to convert such an intelligent nation to Clristianity. Hinduism is like an elephant. 'The

[^2]followers of other religions attack it like gnats, but they fly off when it once shakes its body. As long as the sayling, "God is truth itself, wisdom itself, and infinity itself," lasts, so long will Hinduism last. As long as the saying, " He is the best anong the knowers of God whose amnsement is God, whose enjorment is God, and who performs good works," is regarded in India as the best description cf the true knower of God, so long will Hinduism last. As long as the sayings, "Hereally seeth who seeth other beings in the light of himself," "One should not do to others what is hurtful to himself," will be welcomed by the people of India, so long will Hinduism last. As long as Hinduism will last, so long will the Hindu name last. We can never forsake the name of Hindu. What fond and charming associations are connected with the word "Hindu": When we pronounce the word "Hindu" the venerable figures of the primitive Aryans, who dwelt on the banks of the river Saraswati, appear before our vision, who, perceiving the intimate relation between man and God, satid, "Thou art uur father, thou art our mother." "Thou ant our friend, our father, the greatest anong fathers." "Sweet is thy friendship, sweet thy guidance." "Thou art ours and we thinc.' When we pronounce the word "Hiudu," the venerable figure of the Rishi Tittira appears before our vision, who said: "He who knows the Supreme God who is truth itself, wisdom itsclf, and infinity itself as seated in the best of all spaces, the heart, cojoyeth all fruition with the all-intelligent God." When we pronounce the word "Hiudu" the venerable figure of the Rishi Mandukya appears betore our vision, who said: " (tod is all-t"aqquil, all-grod and withont a secoud." When we pronomice the werl "Hindu," the vonerable figure of Vyas, who said:" $O$ ne should not do to others what is hurtful to himself," presents itself to our mind in the revered array of the goddevoted ascetic, the tiger-skin, and the matted hair. When we pronounce the word "Hindu," the vencrable figure of the gentle, but spiriod, Vasistha appears before our vision, who said: "The words of a child, if reasonable, are aceeptable, but what is unreasonable should be spurned as grass even if attered by the god, Brahma, himself." When we pronounce the word " Hindu," the wise, placid aspect of the brown-complexioned, handsome Rama, brown as the fresh Durva grass, appears before our vision, who suffered infinite hardship in the wilderness for fourteen years fur the sake of fulfilliug the promise he made to his father and exhibited in himself the best example of truthfulness and self-, restraint. When we pronounce the word "Hindu," Yudhisthir presents himself before our vision, whose name has become almost synonymous with virtue in India. When we pronounce the word "Hindu," the figure of that extraordinary man* appears before us who, telling the means of his own destruction to Judhisthir, showed uncommon magnanimity of mind, and who, from amidst the tortures of his bed of arrows, gave endless and priceless religious and moral instruction to the Pandavas. When we pronounce the word "Hindu," the high-souled royal saint, Janaka, presents himself to our minds, who, for a minute, was not diverted from intimate communion with God, though paying the minutest attention to worldly affairs. When we pronounce the word "Hindu," we remember Pururava, who, on being brouglit captive before Alexander, and on being asked by him how he liked to be treated, replied, "Like a King." How charming is the Hindu name! Can we ever forsake it? This mame possesses magical power. By means of this name all Hindus will be bound by the tie of brotherhood. By means of this name, the Bengali, the Hindusthani, the Punjabi, the Rajput, the Mahratta, the Madrasi, in short all Hindus, will be of one heart. The aspirations of all will be the same; they will all make united effort for the attainment of all kinds of freedom. We should not, therefore, forsake the name of Hindu as long as the last drop of Aryan blood runs in our veins. Shall we, forsaking the Hindu name and the Hindu religion, have recourse to slavish imitation of other nations? Imitation makes man
weak ; one can never become great by means of imitation. Our nation is very fond of imitation. They are so fond of imitation that, if the Chinese become our rulers to-day, they would keep a pig-tail to-morrow. But does what I say apply to all Hindus? Are there not hundreds and thousands of men in India who are averse to servile imitation of other mations? If there be no such moble-hearted men in India, let it be washed away by the Indian Ocean, let it be obliterated from the map of the world; the latter would lose nothing by the catastrophe. We are not New Zeland barbariuns, that, putting on hat and coat, we will in one day be turned into Englishmen. This would be the act of a slave. We are not slaves. We have got stamina in us. The Himlu race has still got sufficient stamina in them which would enable them to rise by dint of independent exertion. The Hindu nation is sure to improve themselves and becone rivals of other civilized nations in course of time. Religious and motal civilization is true civilization. That civilizittion las not yet dawned upon the earth. We can reasouably hope that the Hindu nation, by re-attaining its ancient religious and moral civilization, yea by attaining a greater religious and moral civilization than the same, will stand as the best and the foremost of all nations on the earth. We have lost political freedom. Shall we have to lose social frecdom also? The great poet Homer says: "The day a man becomes a slave, he loses half his manhood." If in this way we become dependent on others in every respect, shall we be ever able to stand up again ? Can the mind retain its strength at such slavish dependcuce? If the mind lose its strength, how can we effect our own improvement? Shall the Hindurace be extinguished by becoming subject to foreigners in cvery possible respect? I can never believe so. This I hope that, as the Hindu nation was once renowned in the world for knowledge, wisdom, civilization, and religion, it will again become renowned for the same. Milton says in one place with reference to his own nation: "Mcthiuks, I sec in my mind a noble and puissant nation rousing herself like a strong man after sleep and shaking lier invincible locks; methinks, I see her as an eagle mewing her mighty youth and kindling her undazzled eyes at the full mid-day beam." Likewise I can saty with respect to the Hindu nation: "I see bofore me the mighty Hindu mation rising from sleep and shaking the Kundula* of the hero, setting itself to rum the race of improvement with the energy of a god. I see before me the Hindu nation renewing its youth and again adorning the world with the light of knowledge, civilization and religion. I see before me its renown and glory again filling the carth from one end of it to the other." I conclude ny lecture with singing the glory of India with a heart full of hope:-

> Ye sons of Ind ! her glory sing
> With hearts responsive to the string.
> What land beneath the sun like Indin gleans?
> What mount is like her Mount of Snow:
> Hers are the kindest soil, the purest streams
> Aud mines where rarcest jewels glow.
> Glory be to Ind!
> Glory ho ! to Ind!
> Glory sing of Ind !
> With a fearless heart,
> With a master's art,
> Glory sing of lud!
> 2.
> Surpassing fair and chaste are India's dames :
> Where are the 'quals to be found
> Of Sita, Savitri, those holy names,
> For matchless constancy renown'd?
> Glory be to Ind !
> Glory ho ! to Ind!
> Glory sing of Ind!
> With a fealless heart,
> With a master's art
> Glory sing of Ind !

* An ornament for tho car, worn by anciont Ilindus, and still done so by those of the Northorn and Southern parts of Jadia.


## :

Before our vision India's sages great
Vasistha, Goutam, Atri pass,
And hards, jewels of her fallen state,
hare Valmik, Vyas and Kalidas.
Glory be to Iud!
Glory ho ! to Ind !
Glory sing of Ind!
With a fealless heart,
With a master's art,
Glory siug of Ind!

## 4.

'The band of herocs she-oh! where are they ?
Suljection brought on sable night;
Thongh teep) the gloom, will it for ever stay?
The riving sun must cheer the sight.
Glory be to Ind!
Gilory ho! to Ind!
Glory sing of Ind!
With a learless heart,
Wilh a master's art,
Glory sing of Iad.

## 5.

Rememberst thou not Bhim and Arjun great, The valiant Prithuand the rest,
The stays of Jud, the wicked Yavan's dread,
The tender friends to men distrest?
( Alory be to Ind!
Glory ho! to Ind!
Glowy sing of Ind:
With a fearless heart,
With a master's art,
Glory sing of Thed:

## ( 0.

Why farest thou, O craven! comage take ;
The righteous canse does viet'ry grace ;
Thongh weak, know maion thee will pow'rful make ;
What fear to cheer our mother's face?
Glory $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ to Ind :
Glory ho! to Ind!
Glory sing of Inl!
With a tealless heart,
Wihl a master's art,
Glory sing of Ind :
Qlory be to Iud!
Glory ho! to Ind!
Glory sing of Ind!
With a fealess hart,
With a master's art,
Glory sing of Ind!*


* This song, originally composed in Bengali by one of the friends of the writer, is simg at the llindu Mela or the ammul National Gatherins at Caloulta. Tho Bonge Darshane, the best of the vermacular manaines of Bengal, reviewing his "Superiority of Himduism." says with reference to this song : "Let flowers and sweet seenti be showered on the pen of the camposer of this song! Let this ercat song be sung in overy part of India! Let it be echood in tho caves of the Himalaya! Let it be whispered through every trec on the banks of the Ganges, Yamana, Indus, Nermuda and Golanery! fotit, resomed in the solemn roar of the eastern and the western (the Auab and Dengal) Seas! Let the chords of the learts of the two humbed millions of ludia beat in unison to this song." 'Jhe writer entertains a fond hope that this song would in course of timo come to be reckoned as the national song of India, and be translatod into overy vernacular dialect spoken in this vast continent. The writer thinks it necessary to inform the reader that the original of thi song in the Bengali edition of his "Superiority of Hinduism," is much superior to the translation given abore, athough he prosumes that he will find the later to be a close aud sipirited one.

GLEANINGS FROMI ELIPHAS LEVI.*
$+$
Fatracts from the Introduction to the docime de la maute magie.
Through the veilof all the hieratic and mystic allegories of ancient doctrines, through the gloom and fantastic trials of all the initiations, benenth the sealsof all sacred writings, amidst the ruins of Ninevch or Thebes, on the time worn fragments of the Old World temples, and the mutilated faces of the Assyrian or Egyptian sphynx, in the monstrous or wondrous paintings that translate to the Indian belicver the sacred pages of the Vedas, in the strange emblems of our old books on alchemy, and in the ceremonics of admission practised in all secret societies, one catches glimpses of a doctrine, everywhere the same and everywhere studiously concealed.

Occult philosophy seems to have been everywhere, the nurse or god-mother of all religions, the secret lever of all intellectual forces, the key of all divine mysteries, and the absolute queen of society, in those ages when it was exclusively restricted to the education of the priests and kings.
Nevertheless at the base of magic there is science, ns at the base of Christianity there is love; and in the symbols of the Evangel, we see the incarnate Word, adored in infancy by the three Magi, led by a star (the ternary and the sign of the microcosm) and receiving from them Gold, Incense and Myrrh ; $\dagger$ another mysterious ternary under whose emblems are allegorically represented some of the profoundest secrets of the Kabala.

Strange fact ; there exist amongst the sacred books of the Christians two works that the infallible church has never pretended to understand, and never attempts to explain : the prophecy of Ezechiel and the Apocalypse; two Kabalistic clavicules, reserved without doubt in heaven for the comments of the Royal Magi ; works closed with seven scals for the faitliful believers, but perfectly clear to every inficlel initiated in the Occult Sciences.

There is yet another book. . . . This book, more ancient perhaps than that of Enoch, has never been translated, and it is written throughout in primitive characters and on detached leaves like the tablets of the ancients. . . . It is truly a strange and monumental work, simple and strong as the architecture of the Pyramids and durable consequently as these; a work that sums up all sciences, and of which the infinite combinations can solve all problems; a book which speaks by engendering thought, and that inspires and regulates all possible conceptions.

We have said that the church, whose special attribute is the custody of the keys, does not pretend to possess those of the Apocalypse or the visions of Ezechiel. For the Christians and in their opinion the scientific and magical clavicules of Solomon are lost. It is, however, certain that in the domain of intelligence, governed by the Word, uothing written is lost. Only those things which men cease to know of, cease to exist for them at any rate as a potency; and they sink back into the region of enigmas and mysteries. Moreover, the antipathy of or even open war waged by the official church against everything which falls within the range of inaric, which is a sort of personal and unfettered priesthood, springs from necessary causes which are even inherent in the social

[^3]hierarehical constitution of the Christiau priesthood. The Church ignores magic, because she must ignore it, or perish.
The Tomplars, did thoy really worship Baphomet?
What then was this secret and powerful association which imperilled chureh and state, the members of which wero thus slaughtered without a learing? Judge nothing lightly, thoy were guilty of a great crime; they allowed the profune to look into the sanctuary of the ancient initiation; they plucked once more, and divided amongst themselves to become masters of the world, the fruits of tho knowledge (la soience) of good and evil. The sentence that condemms them has a ligher source than even the tribunals of the Pope or King Philip le Bel. "On the day that thou shait cat of that fruit, thou shalt surely dio," said God himself, as we see in the book of Genesis.

Yes, there exists a formidable secret, the revelation of which has already overthrown one world as is attested by the religious traditions of Egypt,* epitomized symbolically by Moses at the beginning of Genesis. This secret constitites the fatal knowledge (science) of good and evil, and its result, when divulged, is death. Moses represents it under the figure of a tree which is in the mildlle of the terrestrial Paradise, and which is close to, naty, which holds by its roots to the tree of life; the four mysterious rivers rise at the foot of this tree, which is guarded by the sword of fire and by the four forms of the Biblical sphynx, tho cherubim of Ezechiel. Here I must pause, I fear that I have already said too mucl.

Yes, there exists a dogma, unique, universal, imperishable, strong as the supreme reason, simple as all that is great, intelligible as is everything universally and absolutely truc, and this dogma has been the father of all others. Yes, there does exist a knowledge which confers upon man prerogatives and powers apparently superhuman.

The philosopher's stone, the universal mediciue, the transmutation of metals, the quadrature of the circle, and the secret of perpetual motion, are thercfore neither mystifications of science nor dreans of folly; they are expressions, which must be understood in their true signification, and which represent the different bearings of one and the same secret, the different aspects of one and the same operation, which may be designated in more general terms as the Great Wonis.

There exists in nature a force far more powerful than steam, by the help of which a single man, capable of grasping it and knowing how to direct it, might clange the entire face of the world. This force was known to the ancients; it exists in an universal agent, of which equilibriun is the fundamental law, and the direction of which pertains to the great secret of transcendental magic. By directing this agent one can change even the order of the seasons, produce in the darkest night the appearances of day, correspond in one instant from one extremity of this

[^4]earth to the other, see, like Apollonius, what passes on the other side of the globe, heal or strike at a distance and give to a word or sentiment, a world-wide echo and influence. This agent of which glimpses are afforded in the manipulations of the disciples of Mesmer is precisely what the Adepts of the Middlle Ages desiguated the primary sulbstance of the Great Work. With the Gnostics this was the fiery body of the Holy Glost, and it was this which was worshipped in the secret rites of the Sabbath, or the Templars under the hieroglyphic form of Baphomet, or the IIermaphrodite goat. of Mendes.;

The key to all magieal allegories is to be found in the leaves or cards, to which we liave referred and which we believe to lave been the work of Hermes. Aromad this work which may be called the key-stone of the arch of every teriple of Occult scienco, innumerablelegends cluster, partial translations of, or commentaries, eternally renewed under a thousand different forms, on the great truth. At times these ingenious fables group themselves hamoniously together forming a grand epic poem, which gives its character to an cutire epoch, without the crowd being able to explain how or why this is so. It is thas that the myth of the Fleece of Gold sums up, while veiling them, the hernetic and magical dogmas of Ophens, and if we only turn back as far as the mystical poosy of Gircece, it is Gefiget the sanctuaries of Egypt and lindia overpower us, as it were, with their profusion and leave us bewildered where to choose in the midst of so much wealth, and that we are impatient to speak of the legend of Thebes," "that terrible synthesis of all dogma, present, past ${ }^{\wedge}$ and future, that, so to speak, infinite fable which, like the god of Orphens, touches the two ends of the cycle of human life. How passing strange! The sevell gates of Thebes, defended and attacked ly sevon chicfs who have sworn upon the blood of victims, signify precisely the same as the seven scals of the sacred book, explained by seven genii and attacked: by a seven-leaded monster, after it las boen opener by a Lamb living, though immolated, in the allegarical Revelation of St. John! The mysterious origin of (Edipms, found langing like a bleeding fruit on a tice of Cytheron, recalls the symbols of Moses and the stories of Genesis. He figlts against his father and kills him without knowing lim; dreal prophecy of the blind emamcipation of reason, without science ; then he cones to the sphynx, the splymes, the symbol of symbols, eternal enigma to the common herd, pedestal of granite to the science of sages, the devonring and silent monster which in its unchanging form expresses the unique dogma of the great universal mystery:' How does the tetrad change into the binid and explain itself in the triad? In other words, more emblematic and more poputar; what anmal has four feet in the morning, two at noon and three in the evening? Philosophically speaking, how does the dogma of the elementary forces produce the dualism of Zuroaster, and sum itself up in the Triad of Pythagoras and Plato ? + What is the innermost signification (reison derniere) of these allegories and numbers, what the key word (deriecr mol) of all symbolisms? (Edipus replies with one simple terrible word, which kills the sphyux and makes the guesser of the riddle king of Thebes; the answer to the riddle is, MAN! Uulhappy mortal, he saw too much, but not sufficiently clearly, aud soon he must expiate his fital but imperfect clairvoyance, by a self-iufficted blindness, and

[^5]disannear in the midst of a storm, as must disappear all civilizations which guess, without understanding its entire bearing and mysterv, the answer to the sphynx's rildle. All is symbolic and transcendental in this gigantic Enic of Human destiuy. The two hostile brothers cxpress the secould part of the great mystery divinely completed ly the sacrifice of Autigone; then the war, the last war; the linstilc brothers killed one by the other; Capaneus killer bv the lightning he defied, Amphiräus devoured by the Enth, are equally allegories which by their truth anil grudeur fill with astonishment all who realizo their triple Hieratic signification.

The sacred book of ancient initiation was not ignored by Homer; who traces the plan and the leading figures on the slifield of Achilles, with minute precision. But the gracefill fictions of Homer seem to make us soou forget the simple and abstract truths of primitive religion. Man turns to the form and leaves in oblivion the idea; the signs as they increase in number loose their power, and magic herself at this period grows corrupt and sinks, with the sorcerers of Thessalv. into tho most profane enchantmonts. The crime of Clipus has borne its fruits of Death, and the scienne of Gond and Evil raises evil to a sacrilegions Gon-ship. Men tired of the light hide themselves in the shadow of the bodily substance; the dream of the void that Gool fills seems soon to them greater than God himsclf, and Hell is created.
Whru in this work we make use of those sacred words, Gorl, Heaven, Hell, let it be understood once for all that thic meaning wo attach to them is as distinct from that aceeptent by the outside world, as is the initiation from common thought. For us Gorl is tho Azot of the sages, the efficiont and final principle of the Great Work.*

Let ins return to the fable of Cdipus. The crime of the King of Thebes lies not in having understood the sphyux, but in having destroyed the rod (le fleau= flail) of Thebes, without being sufficiently pure to complete the expiation in the name of its people; soon the plogne avenges the death of the sphynx, and the King of Thebos, forced to abdicate, sacrifices himself to tho terrible manes of the monster which is more living and devouring than ever now that it has passed from the rralms of Form into those of the Idea. (Edipus has seen what man is, and he tears out his eyes so as to avoid seeing what God is. $\dagger$ He lans divulged one-half of the great magic secret, and to save his people he must carry with him to exile and to the grave the other half of the terrible secret.
After the colossal fable of Edipus we meet with the graceful poom of Psyche, of which Apuleus is certainly not the inventor. The great magical secret here reappears under the guise of the mysterious marriage between a God and a feeble girl abandoned, alone and nackell, on a rock. Pssche must remain ignorant of the secret of her ideal Roralty, and if she looks at her lusband she loses him. $\ddagger$ $\Lambda$ pulevis here gives a commentary on, and an interpretation of the allegories of Moses, but the Elohim of Israel and the gods of Apuleus, did not both equally issue from the sanctuaries of Memphis and Thebes? Psyche is the sister of Eve, or rather Eve spiritualized. Both desire to know and forfeit innocence to gain the honour of the trial. Both deserve to descend into Hell,--the one to bring thence the old box of Pandora, the other there to seek and there to crush the head of the old serpent, which is the

[^6]symbol of time and of evil. Both commit the crime that in the old times, Prometheus, and in the Christian legend, Lucifer, have to expiate, the one deliverod the other subjected by Hercules and by the Saviour.
The great magical secret is therefore the lamp and the dagger of Psyche, the apple of Eve, the sacred fire stolen by Prometheus, and the burning scepter of Lucifor, but it is also the loly cross of the Redeemer. To know enough of it to divulge or misuse it, is to deserre all punishments; to know it as it should he known, to use and hide it is to be Mastel of the Absolute.*
All is comprelended in ono word, and in one word of four lettecs; it is the Tetragram of the Hebrews, tho Azot of the alchemists, the Thot of the gipseys, and the Tharo of the Kabalists. This word expressed in so many words means God for the common herd, man for the Plilosopher, and gives to Adepts the crowning word of human science and the key to divine power, but he alone kuows how to use it, who realizes the necessity of never revealing it. If Cdipus, instead of causing the sphynx to die, had tamed and harnessed her to his chariot when entering Thebes, he would lave been king without incest, without calamities, without exilc. Had Psyche by submissiou and caresses persuaded Love to reveal himself to her, she need never have lust him. Love is one of tho mythological imagos of the great secret and the great agent, because he expresses at the same time au action aud a passion, a void :nd a fulness, an arrow and a wound. Initiates ought to understand me; and on account of the vulgar ono must not say too much.

The Bible with all the allegoriesit contains, expressesonly very imperfectly aurd obscurely the religious science of the Hebrews. The book of which we have spoken aud whose hieratic figures wo shall later explain, this book called by William Fiostel the Gencsis of Enocl, certainly existed before Moses and the propliets, whose doctrine. identical in essentials with that of the ancient Egyptians, had also its cxotericism and its veils. When Moses spoke to the

[^7]people, says allegorically the sacred book, he put a veil over his face, but he removed this veil when he spoke to God.*

These books were only written to preserve tradition, and they were written in symbols unintelligible to the profanc. Besides the Pentatench and the poetry of the Prophets were only clementary works of doctrine, ethics and liturgy; the true secret and traditional Philosophy was not written till later and under veils still less transparent. It is thus that a second Bible originated, unknown or rather uncomprehended by Christians; a collection, say they, of monstrous absurdities (and on this point believers and unbelievers confounded in a common ignorance are at one) ; a monument, say we, in which is collected the most sublime efforts and imaginings to which the genii of philosophy and religion have ever attained; a treasure surrounded by thorns; a diamond concealed in a coarse dull stone;-our readers will have already guessed that we refer to the Talmud.

One is struck with admiration on penetrating into the sanctuary of the Kabala with a doctrine so logical, so simple, and at the same time so absolute. The necessary union of signs and ideas, the consecration of the most fundamental realities by the primitive characters; the trinity of words, letters and numbers; a philocophy simple as the alphabet, profound and infinite as the word; thenrems more complete and luminous than those of Pytbagoras; a theology one can sum up on one's fingers; an infinity which may be held in the hollow of a baby's hand; ten cyphers, twenty-two letters, a triangle, a square, and a circle complete the elements of the Kabala. They are the fundamental principles of the written Word; the reflection of the spoken Word, which created the world.

All truly dogmatic religions have issued from and return to the Kabala; all that is scientific or grand in the religions dreams of all the illuminati, Jacob Bochme, Swedenborg, Saint Martin, \&c., has been borrowed from the Kabala; all masonic associations owe to it their secrets and their symbols. The Kabala consecrates alone the alliance of the universal Reason and the divine Word; it establishes by the counterpoiso of two forces, opposed in appearance, the eternal balance of existence; it alone reconciles reason with faith, power with liberty, science with mystery; it holds the keys of the present, the past, and the future.

## THE THREE GRADES OF ANCIENT THEOSOPHISTS.

## BY A SANKARIAH, F.T.S.

I hold for myself and for the Kerala and other sidhasramams under my guidance that the merits of registered or unregistered, ancient or modern Theosophists are of three grades in the following verses of Manu :-

Lowpst or Third Degree or Grade.
"Rishi Yujnam Deva Yujnam,
Bhuta Yujnam cha survadû́
Nri Yajnum Pitri Yajnım cha,
Yatha Suktir ua hâpayet."

## Second Degree.

"Etán eke mahâ Yuinınn,
Yajna sastra vido Juâh ;
Anî̉bamâmas Sataram.
Indriyeshu eva Juhvati."
First or Highest Degree or Grade of Adepts.
" Jṇmemniva Aparo Viprâ,
Yajanty etnir Mukhais sadáa
Jnanamulần Kriynm eshâm,
Pasyanto In nâna chakshusha."
All Theosophical teaching and practice can only be in explanation or in realisation of those merits.

Merit of the second and first grade is attainable only by apprenticeship to Gurus. I leave the verses without any explanation in order that our Fcllows may contribute to the Theosornis' the result of their studies with Pandits, Chelas, and Adepts. The subject is inexhaustible and all comprehensive, and will help to discriminate between the different ranks and branches of the Initiates and occult lore respectively. They are but nominal Theosophists who are not disciplined in the third grade of Love, Hope, and Charity. The Internal Regulation of the senses and the nervous system which characterises the second grade will then replace the External Regulation. The men of the First or Highest grade are a lazo unto themselves and must not be criticised or imitated by the ignorant and impatient Chela or Reformer. Sri Sankara Chariar is alleged to have lived with a widow princess, in "parakâyam;" Arjuna is accused of laving married a widow, and Krishna to have had a thousand wives.* These examples are unsuited to and misinterpreted and ill-followed by Theosophists of the third and second grades and a fortiori by the uninitiated.

## (Toxicspomdence.

## IS IT A "SIN" TO WITHHOLD CERTAIN KNOWLEDGE? <br> to the editor or the " theosorinst."

In your issue of September last, in a disputatious letter signed " II. X.," the writer,-who feels evidently the necessity of finding a bone to pick with some one and shows determined fight,-gives out, as his personal opinion, that:-"it is a sin on their (the Brothens') part not to communicate to the world all the knowledge they possess."

Imprudent and highly defying words.-A proposition show. ing plainly in the writer a far larger percentage of egotistical solfishness than of practical benevolence for humanity. In its present unsettled, chaotic condition of morality-or rather of immornlity I should sny-the gift of such knowledge would prove simply murderous. Viewed from the vide of moral dauger, it is as though he urged upon a school-master the necessity of imparting to his erowd of urchins-aged respectively from five to fifteen-every secret of phywiology hardly known to their fathers; and, regarded from the aspect of physical peril, the demand is equal to an advice to leave, with a tribe of savare ishanders, a whole arsenal of nitro-glycerine explosives, entrusting the aborigines with the storage, handling, and transportation of the destroctive materials.

I have not the honour of knowing much about the BrotimerAdepts; but I believe in Aderss, and in the pmeers exercised by such persons. Still, little as I may know of their system, I know enough to approve of it most unequivecally, and, especially of their reservo and cantiousness, for which I feel very grateful.

Buta few years since. it wasa seriousquestion, with some of our men of seience, whether they ought not to keep back some of their discoveries from the knowledge of the vulgar, lest a portion of mankind shouht turn most of its deadly secrets into weapons to be used for persmal motives aganst their fellowmen. It was rmoured that Famalay himeelf had mu idea that. it would be better "if the secret of the decomposition of water were not discovered, as the power, so gained, might not be wisely used." And who of us would dare dispute the fact that a power, requiring skill and an chormous self-restraint and abnegation for its beneficent use, -an art with as much potentiality for cuil as for good in it-onghanever to be placed at the disposal of the first comer, since the latter, in the prevent loose state of momals of our Society, would be sure, in seven cuses out of ten, to apply it to some bad or eelfish parposes? From the discovery of chloroform down to that of dynamite, there was more misuse than use of hoth, and more terrible crimes were committed, than bencficent results achieved, by their help. Thus, it could be casily shown that, ceen with regard to popular science, certain scientific secrets placed in the

[^8]hands of all men, prove anything but beneficial or tending to the amelioration of mankind. "I hold," says "H. X.," "that be a man on Anepr or what not, all the knowledge, he possesses, he holds simply in trust for his fellowmen." In trust from whom? -if I may ask the question. As well maintnin that a self-mate capitalist-one, who toiled all his life, worked hard at the price and sacrifice of every comfort, one, who denied to himself rest and recreation, for years, to make a certain for-tume,-that he too holds every penny, so accumnlated by him at the swent of his hrow, " simply, in trust for his fellowmen ;" that no more, than with the ADEPT, does it rest with him to choose those whom he would help; but that, unless he throws, out of the window, his capital, coin ly coin, for a crowd of scoundrels as well an deserving men, to piek it up, ho must be held ns a recreant to his duty and charged with-Sin. Strange logic and as strange a revulsion of feeling, if "H.X.," as I believe, of the September Letter in the Tneosormist, is identical with the "H. X." of "Hints on Esoterie Theosophy." If so, then his idens must have been slighty modified since the publication of the latter? For, I find in "Hints," on page 38, the following :-
"I do not think that any one will dispute that, in the present state of maukind, cund cspccinlly mankind in the Last, in which, as they themselves admit, the ADEPTS, as a body, are most especinlly interested, any public appearance and ministry of the Bromiers wonld produce incalculable harm, would give birth to a new crop of buneful superstitions, would disorganize Society, disturb tho whole course of putlic affairs, and not improbably extinguish finally that suall Brotheriood, in whose sole custody remains the secret knowledge of the universe."

Since the Brotuers and their mowledge are inseparable. and that the nppearance of the former minus the later would crente no impression whatever, the quotation nuswers very well the question in hand. Atany rate, being admitterl ns the sole proprietors and custodimis of the Great Rnowledge, what is the use, nfter having written those lines, to upset the whole reasoning by having it followed with sucha very cross, and, in my lumble opinion, undignified, spiteful, and unphilosophical letter?

If it is hard to reconcile oneself even with the idea of popularexoteric science making over every one of ita many deadly discoverifes to the brutal masses, and thus furnishing every unprincipled rascal, who would learn them, with a dangerous weapon, how mush more caution ought to be used in the matier of Oceult powers of nature ? Every one, on the contrary, ought to feel deeply thankful to the custodians thereof, for kecping their sectets out of the mischievous rench of the wicked world. Imagine the power of firitg air or water discovered and made publie for the excellent motive of furnishing cheap fucl to the poor and thus becoming the property of a worth, in which, according to the satirical statistics furnished by The Spectator, every one man in a thousand is a crypto-lunatic! The power of vibration, for instnnce, is among the most marvellous in its potentiality for the production of varions phenomena, and at the samo time, the most terrible as a lestructive ngent, in Occult Science. With this power at one's disposal, the vril of the "Coming Race" becomes a reality, and an army of men can bo killed by a child as easily and as rapidly as though lightning had threaded through each man. The cock-and-bull story, given hy a New York reporter, of a man who affirmed he conld fiddle a bridge down, and, bring laughed at for his presumption, set his fiddle to accord with the key of the bridge and came so dangerously near succeeding in his work of destrnction ns to convince the scoffers of the dangerous potentiality thant lies dormant in vibration and of his ability to do what he said-is to one, acquainted with the Occult Sciences, something theoretically and practically possible. The disintegration of brick and mortar, stone and marble, wood and even iron within a definite area into minutest dust, is a discovery contemplated by exact science and certainly within tho limits of its hopes and possibilities. Imngiue then, if you can, ferocious Socialists and French petroleuses and communards coming into the possession of such easy means of getting rid of their hereditary enemythe proprietor and house-lolder,- ind not availing themselves of the opportunity to devtroy whole squares and even citiosother peoples' property !

But, I will suppose that, for some mysterious rensons of their own, the Adert-Bromiers consent to depart from their timohonoured and wise policy of silence, and that, smarting under "H. X.'s" rebuke, they give out all-or, at least, some things -that they know, and of which seience is yet ignorant. What will the probable result be? Without going to the trouble of inventing new objections ngainst the advisability of such a
premature trust in the amiable civilized worh which boasts of a Salvation Army at one pole and of a Louise Michel and Nihilists at the other-I will simply cite, from the same "IIints," the objections made by " II. X." himself on pages 38 and 40. Evidently the irascible writer of the September Lefter forgot ali ho had said in his previous arguments in "IIints":-
"Once let it loc gencrally known and believed that there existed men who . . knew all about death, \&c.. . and ncither walls of adamant nor triple gates of brass, neither occuns nor the snowy ranges slooting up miles high into the intense inane, could keep these knowers from the overwhelming rush of human beings, mad, -for all violent spiritual upsurgings become a sort of maduessmad, I say, with the intense resolve to leam and have proof of all they were taught. Uuler such couditions, adepthlip must cense to exist."
Has our friend "II. X." alrendy reached himself such a psychological state of "a spiritual upsurging" as above described; and has he also become resolved "to learn and have proof of all that he was tanght"? If would seem so. Were the AdrptBrotirers inclined to answer him, they might ensily do so in his own words. Were they to give the world all they know, their penalty, as "II. X." tells us, would he that theiraleptship would "cease to exist" : and, were they to give out but a portion, then weare told again by the same author ("Hints," page 40,) that they would find-
" the inevitable uselessuess of any attenepts on their part to deal directly with people not spiritually parified. Though one went to then from the dead they would not believe. If a Broture were to visit an ordinary man at dozen times, would he helieve? If the Brotricn came in the flesh he would think him an inpostor; if he cane in his astral form. . . he (the man) would persuade limself it was a trick of his own fancy, or of some one else's devising. No, the mass of mankind, even the mass of the more highly educated Theosophists. . prossess that pinitual sense or insight which alone renders conviction possible, still only in a potential or dormant state. . Why should they (the Inctrens) waste time and powers, . . over what from the nature of the conditions must be an all but, if not utterly, fruitless toil?"

Which then-the "Ilints" or the "Jetter"-contains the real opinion of "H. X." ? Forboth cannot arree. They are entirely and diametrically opposed in spisit as in feelmes. And this admits of a suspicion that perhaps the Brotners are not so very wrong, after all, in holding the comphinant at arm's length, and trying him before they eutrust him with further secrets. Not withstanding lis greatability as a comrovervialist and debater, "H. X." may not have quite reached himself that state of "spiritual sense or iusight which alone renders conviction possible." and shows us merringly through that siritual sense the fitness or unfityess of things. If he is, as there seems no reason to doubt, a sensibie nud carnest man, then his first duty would be to set the example and to the the firet to follow out the rules laid wit by him so graplically in Hines on Esuturic Tineosopiy, and a wait, with pathence, for further developments. If, on the other hand, be fears, Iest. owing to the inrompleteness of the "sketches" given out by him so far in the Fracments, the reality of the few facts he has "succeeded in extracting" from them is doubted, then, what right had he to rush, with such facts, into print. at all, before having assured himself of the truly philosophiesl character of those facts? But, cyen now, the dissatisfed gentleman could casily men! matters. Let hinn conduct his literary experiments aprit and keep the results to himself, until he shall have either reduced them within the naturnl bounds of science and philosophy, and thus rendered them intelligible. definite, and unanswcrable, or show their philosophy publicly to the world to be no better than a fallacy; But, so long as this is iot done, I, for one, hold that "FI. X." has shown no token of possessing the very first requisites demanded in a candidate for Occultism, mancly, the philosophical spirit undnunted by any trial or disappointment: the cool and settled julgment that knows no wavering; and that keen, critical sense-rather than knowledge of the nature of esoterie truth and its relations-so necessary for the accurate grasping of hidden truths. In slort, "II. X." has done nothing, so far, which should warrant him in demanding, as he loes, from the Brotinens the whole truth, or, justify any true Occultist in affording him the full confidence and co-operation he so loudly calls for.

Wentworth Hill, Octolier.
$\Lambda$.

## THE "CONTIRADICTIONS OF THE BIBLE" AND <br> TIIE RAWAL PINDI MISSION SCHOOL.

Having given romin in our September number (see p. 304) to a letter from a Hindu correspondent, belonging to a Mission School, who atecused his Superintendent, the Revd. N—— of abuse of power, we sent a copy of that number to the party charged of the offenee, in order to give him a chance of replying to the accusation. We have now his reply and we print it verbatim. At the same time, we have also received another letter from the phintiff, which we publish alongside with that of the Revd. geatleman. We regret our inability to comply with the request of the latter. "In case Lalishman sends you any more cock-and-bull stories, please favour me with a sight of them before putting them into print, as they may be improved by an explanation from me"-writes to us the Revd. C. B. Newton. We answer : We have no right to betray the confidence of a correspondent, even though he may bo proved to have exaggerated the offence. We are glad for the Revd. gentleman's sake that it should be so, and sorry for the young man that he should have found it necessary to exaggerate.* With all that, we camot remain satisfied with the explanations given by the Revd. Mr. Newton. The main point is not whether he has confiscated the book-another person's propertybrutally or politely ; but, mather, whether he had nuy right to do so at all, since Lakshman Singh was not a Christian ; and the Mission Schools, especially the American, have no right to break the promises of religions nentrality given to the Mindus and Mussalmans by the Government that gives them shelter and hospitality. And, if Lakshman Singh proves that he has been expelled from the school for 10 greater crime than appealing to public opinion to decide upon the legality of such foreed proselytism, and for refusing to sign an untruthful statement to save his prospects of education from ruin, then, we doubt whether the Revd. Mr. Newton will thereby strengthen mueh cither his own case or that of the religion he would enforce upon his pupils by means that no one would venture to call altogether fair. And since our Revd. correspondent does us the honour of acknowledging that we maintain certain principles, such as truthfuluess and fair-phay, in common with himself, we would fain ask him in the name of that trutlifulness, whether he would have ever carcd to confiscate, as promptly as he has the "Selfcontradictions of the Bible," some of the missionary works that tear down, abuse, and revile the gods of the IIindus, and the other so-called "heathen" religions? And if not, is it not forcing the poor youths of India, who have no other means of being educated, to pay rather too dearly for that education, if they have to obtain it at the price of their ancestral faith, or be turned out for seeking to learn the truth about a religion which they are asked to prefer to their own and which yet is represented to them but from one of its aspects, mamely, the missionary side? We call it neither fair nor generous; nor yet charitable. True charity neither asks nor does it expect its reward; and, viewed from this stand-point, the free mission schools must appear to every unprejudiced person no, better than ill-disyuised traps for the unsophisticated "heathens," and the missionaries themelves as guilty all round of false pretences. Far more respectable appear to us even the ludicrous Salvationists who, if they masquerade in Oriental costumes, do not at least disguise their real aims and objects, and have, at any rate, the merit of sincerity, however brutally expressed. Therefore we maintain what we have said before: the act of which the Revd. Newton and tho two sehoolmasters stand accused of, is-Abuse of Power.

## I.

## to the editor of the " tingosophist."

I received a copy of the magazine abovenamed (for September) day before yesterday, and presume you sent it. I thank you cordially for doing so, as it gives me the opportunity of replying to an article which concerus myself and the teachers of the Mission school in this place.

You and I do not agree in our religious beliof, but there are certain principles we maintain in commou, such as truthfulpess and fair play.

I trust therefore you will have the goodness to give the same publicity to the reply that you have to the charges it is designed to refute.

The enclosed statement will show you that you have been imposed upon, and have been made the means of, unwittingly propagating a fiction founded on a very thin substratum of fact -a story which is in almost every particular, as false as it is injurious.

In your editorial denunciation of my supposed conduct, you have my full sympathy. The terms "brutal abuse of power" and "robbery" are a little strong, but perhaps not too strong for the iniquitous proceediugs described, if they had occurred: but they did not occur.

The case as regards the lad Lakshman is aggravated by tho fact that he has for some months past been in rectipt of a scholurship from the school to emable him to pursue his studies. A part of this allowance be seems to have been spending in the purchase of books designed to controvert the principles on which the school is established.

I am Yours fuithfully,
C. B. Newton,

Superintendent, Mission School.
American Mission, Rawal Pindi, Sept. 13, 1882.

## Statement.

Ratall Pindi Mission School, Sept. 13, 1882.
This morning I assembled the whole school, and in the presence of all, called upon Lakshman Singh, a pupil of the 5 th class, High School, to pay strict attention, and either contradict or corroborate what I shouh narrate in reference to tho book " Contradictions of the Bible."

The facts I then proceeded to narrate are as follows:-
Lakshman Singh having ordered the book in question, and expecting himself to be absent at the time of its arrival, requested the Second Master to take it from the post man, and send it to him by one of his class mates.
The Second Master received the book as directed, and, when he found out its character,* consulted the Head Master by whose advice he brought it to me.
The next morning I called Lakshman Singh aside, and told him I had his book. I said I was sorry he was so anxious to see the Bible falsified, and would rather not have him read a book which, from his comparative ignorance of the Bible, might mislead him, but that since he had bought the book, nud it was his property, I did not feel that I had any right to withhold it from lim ; and therefore, I would give it to him, but, before doing so, would like to read it with him, so that with the poison, I might supply an antidote. He assented without hesitation or demur, and at my invitation came to my house, where we read a few pages as agreed. It was iny intention to take several days to it, and go through the whole, but he freoly expressed himself as satisfied that the appurent contradictions were not real ones, and said further that it was "wáhiyat," " nonsense ;" that he would not have sent for the book if he had known its character, and that he did not care now to have it at all. All this came from him with an air of perfect sincerity and without any prompting on my part. Ireplied that I would be glad to keep it since he was willing, and would pay him whatever expense he had incurred. This, however, he sall was not necessary, as it was a small sum.

The foregoing narrative was fully corroborated by Lakshman Singh, item by item, in the presence of the teachers and pupils of the school.
I then read aloud and translated into Hinlustani, the letter in the Tueosopinst, together with the cominents of the Elitor, and, having done so, asked Lakshman Singh who had written the letter. He said he did not know. I then sent for writing mat rials and told him to writo that statement down, and atach his signature. He held back. I said, "I do not wish you to write it if it is not truc ; if it is true, why do you hesitate?" Ho stepped furward, took up the pen, hesitated, laid it down again and confessed that he had written the letter.

I finally asked him why he had represented himself as a teacher in the school. He replied that he had vever done so in any of the letters he had written to Bombay, and that it must have been a mistaken inference.
C. B. Newton,

Supcrintenderat

- How dif tha Second Master come to know of the conlunts of the packet? Was he tuthorised to opon it ? Or is ho possessed of any cluirvoynus. faculties ?-ED. protem.

The above statement is correct to our certain knowlrdge, as we were present when the examination of Lakshman Singlh took place.

1. H. D. Ghose, Hd. Master.
2. B. N. Bando, 2nd Master.
3. Sunder Singh, 3rd Master.
4. H. C. Sen, 4th Master.
5. Badar-ud-din Hd. Moulvi, Master.
6. Golab Singl, Master.
7. Lakla Singh, Master.
(Follow the sigmatures of 10 pupils of the 5 th class.)

## II.

## to the enitor of tife " timbosornist."

I an a student but not a teacher as published by mistake My letter to the Tineosurnist has been the cause of much excitement among the Chistians, especially so in the case of the Rerd. Mr. Newton, our Superintendent. But, before I proceed to tell you the result of the publication of my letter in your journal, I must say a few words ly way of introduction.
A few days after I lad sent the letter to your Manager, the Reve. Mr. Newton asked me to come to his place. He would, he said, administer to me the "antidote to the poison" (which I had sent for to America, viz.,-"Self-Contradictions of the Bible.") in the words of Mr. Newtan and the two Christian masters, 一the hook which Baij Nath Bando, the 2nd master, and 1I. D. Glosh, the Head Master, did not allow me to see, but taking it from the post pren gave it to the Revd. Newton. Accordingly I went to his honse, when, instead of giving me the antidote, he pointed out certain contradictions mentioned in the book, nod which he explained away at that time to my eatisfaction. It was very kind of the Revd. Mr. Newtou to give me the antidoto before I had been allowed to partake of the poison, viz., to see the book which helonged to me, but was with Mr. Newton. The result was that I was persuaded by his arguments at that time to allow him to retain the work in his posecssion. But this fact, I am sure, does not help Mr. Newton to explain the belaviour of the 2nd Master indepriving me of my own legitimate property and which from the first they had no right to retain againet my will.

And now to the memomble incident that took place after the receipt of a copy of the Theosomist by the Revd. Newton. It happened that the Revd gentleman felt stung to the quick to find things published which he never dreamed would get abroad. On the 13th instant he came to the school, and, collecting all the students and teachers, he offered me certain questions to which I replied; but, as these questions were few and isolated, they did not elicit from me all the facts of the story. Having donc this by way of strenthening his case, he read my published letter and asked me if I had written it. I admitted having sent the letter to the Manager.

After giving expression to much righteous indignation and enlarging upon the sin of exposing what they had done in the matter of my bonk, the Revd. gentleman susponded his judgment for one day. The result is that he has now suspended me from the school for one month, after which I slath be permitted to join on condition of my acknowledring in writing that all that 1 had written in my letter to the ineosormst was fulse. As this is a thing which I camot and will not do at any price, the Revd. gentienau's sentence amounts to my expulsion from the sehool altogether.

This is the way in which they (the inissionaries) seek to puta stop to the expression of any honest opinion in India. I am not sorry for the result. but I camot help, very much regretting that people should travel across lalf the globe to preach to us "heathens" of India the principles of a religion which they themselves fail to follow in practice. It is such men who are never tired of repeating-"Blessed are the meek for they will inherit, the earth," but who find the precept so difficult to practiso in life.

I am told that the Revd. gentleman has written you a long lefter for publication and hats yot it signed by alinost all the teachers and a few students. As a arn ignome of the contents of the letter, I can only bless the Revd. gentleman for his kint decision in my case. Thotyh it has ahnost ruinted all my prospects of education, and though I have sustained such a heavy loss at his lands, yet, I, a heathen of India, will always continue a well-wisher to the man who has been the cause of my ruin.-I ath your most obedient servant,

## Laksiman Singh.

Sailpuri Gate, Rawal Piudce, September 17, 1882.

## "PSYCHOLOGY OF IHE LAMP."

"Ifumanum est errare" is not sufficiently impressed on the minds of those who presume to judge of things which they least understand, and who, inflicting upou the public their crude eriticisms as so many demonstrated truths, nevertheless brag of being sincere searchers after truth. Such persons being pre-emineutly superficial, do not possess the required energy to patiently explore into the very depths of things; but after hovering over the surface which conceals the kernel, they walk off with the impression that it is all a sliell. Oh! that such persons will not keep their (such) convictions to themselves! There is one advantage, though, of mecting with their like in Society. Their very awkwarduess and bungling teud to strengthen the truthsearching minds of serious and honest souls. To them is due the credit for making our party strong, our opinious stronger, and our discoveries of truths-strongest.

Why are some people of Madras (vide Philosophic Inquirer of Madras, Seltember 10, 1882,) against the "Theosophy of Colonel Olcott $?$ " If they were Theosophists, would they not be only just swimming on the surface? We would offer them the following: If they scientifically present in their reply an explanation of the mysteries, then we will part with Colonel Olcott's Theosophism for the Theosophy of soine of his Madras opponents. We start with a simile so that they may elcarly comprehend it.
A lamp contains some oil, the oil feeds the wick, somebody lights the wick, and there is a bright flame. When it is extinguished, the flame goes out, followed by a smoky train emanating from the still burning wick (burning without flame). This burning ceases next, followed by another short expiration of grey gas, leaviug a charred wick, and oil (if it was not altogether consumed). How many principles are involved in this "philosophy"-the origin of "Karma;" the relations between "Karma" and the independent actions of "Egoship;" the cause of ipirit-and-matter mixture; and the host of other questions subordinate to this.
Camp Kibbauhali, Mysore Province, September 18, 1882.

## II.

In the foregoing article, two different cesses have been noticed, and these might unw with advantage be a little expanded. It is no new thing that I say. The question is merely viewed from another side, and, as such, may help to refresh the menories of our readers and 'rheosophists.

Case 1.-If the flame is not suddenly extinguished, it will continue until all the oil is consumed, and the wick is in such a condition now that it is not fit to hold any more oil ; and will reject it if any more is poured in. The flame, left undisturbed by external agency, will consume the wick, and when flitting away, will have left behiud but a rag burnt to sooty shreds. This may be compared to a natural death of old age. The aftereffects are evidently the emanations, or accumulations in space-the result of the attachment of the flame to the congeries of hemp, oil, wick, \&c. Whilo alive, the colour, size, brightness, \&c., of the flame and its after-effects must clearly vary according to local and atmospheric conditions; and so the affinities that a liuman entity creates for itself. I shall not here speculate further, but consider at once

Case 2.-This, if the flame is suddenly extinguished. A certain effort, a current of air is required, first to bend the flame, compress its size and finally to dissever its connection with the wick. To put it plainly, the flame "struggles." What is left behind, in this tase, is a stronger wick, capable of sustaining a flame, and as capable of getting saturated with oil. After the flano is blown out and disappears, followed by its trail of gas, the glare still lingers and with proportionate energy it still continues to draw oil, until finally the glare also disappears, leaving behind au imperfectly consumed wick and oil. Notice here, that while the glare still lingers, the smallest spark brought in contact with it, is eagerly and easily caught up, which is not so in case 1 . This case 2 applies to untimely or accidental death; when, notwithstanding bodily death, the Dhut principles survive, some of which obsess sensitive human subjects. Of the different kinds of Bhuts, and the couditions which must determine their lingering on this carth, or going elsewhere, I am not prepared to speak of just now, as the subject is still bazy and confused in my mind. Still grand problems rise aud can be solved with "the light of the lamp," before us. May not its philosophy be as aptly applied to man? Without the flame, the lamp and its appurtenances are of no nse, and both lamp and its appurtenances are indispensatile for the flame to manifest itself. So may not "Spirit, Soul and Matter" be indispensable to and unthinkable without each other? 'Ihat it is more than that, nuel more complicated and subtile, does not preclude the comparison which has been made only to make it comprehensible to our criticizing friends of Marlras, who think of applying the tests of gases to whatever our states may be at and after the crisis of death! Why should not even this comparison becrme suggestive and comprehensible? How can it be dcuicd (dogneatic deuial is not a scicntific negation) that when the flame is extinguished there will always be following it tramsformation of the flame into gases and that the red glare will survive for some time after. If we are not fitted to suddenly become Occultists and Adepts in this lifo while as yet the fame of our life-lamp is
brightly burning within us, and that we are unable at will to light aud to extinguish our Soul-wicks, still tho philosophy stands true, and nltimately we "may" hetter perceiso the realities; ultimately, I say, for only at, and after "rleath"-after the flame is gone, in the language of our simile-- that we will have to admit and subscribe to the reality of the independent existence of gises and glare, if not of the charred wick.
Is it true that the alrearly "discovered" laws of nature must apply to the yet "andiscovered" laws? How can wo prowe this assertion before we know how to apply and make these laws duvetail together, and learn whether they agree or not ; and what is more, before we have ourselves discovered these "undiscoverel" laws? F"or aught wo know there may be other laws, more general and comprehensive, to which the already "diseovered" laws are subservient; and it is in this spirit that we should be "credulous"instead of remaining unscientifically sceptical. I am a mere tyro, but yet my soul's gates are not shat to receive truth wherever it may bo found, and whatever source it may cone from. You may call the resicluo after the Spirit departs this frame, a ghost, a vapor, the reliqua or anything you will. 'That dues not interfero with the idea that it exists. And utterly ignomant of its nature, and the laws by which it abides, and lacking patience and tho ability to investigate them for ourselves, to say that there cannot lo pessibly a kemel, only because wo cannot break the shell and sco its inside, is revolting to common-sonse. Let "Iree inquiry without prejudice" be our motto, lest we should be matled to one fixed point, and doomed squirrel-like to the ever turning round the same circumference, within a siven radits.
In all ages and at all times we find the current of ignorant opinion settmog itself agaiust new facts, abd counter-ruming the torrent of progress to which finally it hats to succamb. Every new fact diseoverea had at rome time been cried down as "Ilmmbuy," and every science had to contend, at first, against such miversal demmaiation. Unly read "Isis Unveiled" for instance. The Philosphy of Mesmerism, even Mesmerism itself, is for many still a "Humhag." Why? Becanse they do not honow what it is ; and the Ocenttism of some Thensophists is "Collusion," Why? Becanse they cannot exp'ain it otherwise. We hope that the 'lluosophists, and their Pounders, will ouly be the more incited to work in their labour of love by meeting wilh such an opposition, such mumerited and minust, treatment at the hands of some Madraste Frec-thinkers and that in the end they will be able to write "Teke"" on all "Humbugs." If it can be proved that I havo said anything wroug, I ampen to correction even by my frends the Free-thinkers. Therefore do $I$ boldly sign my mame in full.

## A. Govinda Cuarlu,

Assistant Engineer, Mysore State Railway.
Camp Tiptur, Mysore Province, September 14, 1882.

## IDOLATRY IN THE VEDAS.

## to the ieditol of rue "Theosorimst."

With reference to tho requisition of the correspondent of the Arge, pulished in tho last mumber of tho Tusosopistr, I quote below that portion of the Sbâkha of Yejur Véda which conapises the sentence under cousideration, to enable lim to interpret it. Besides this quotation, nothing need be said in reply to him. For, in my previous article on the subject, I have asked whether the Swamiji considered the seatence as a portion of tho Yajur Veda, besides preposiug two other questions. I have, indeed, a strong inclimation to submit a more !engthened reply; but I check that inclina son, and would reserve my remalks intil the discussion arrives at $n$ stage actually calling for them, becanse whatever is inopportunely said is aiso imapropriate, and, of consequence, madeserving of a space in the columus of tho journal, for which legitimato demand is so large.

The quotation runs thus:-
दक्षम्मे अन्य अवर तु परन्ये वातु य द्रपः। यद्ददोर वातते
 जम्। ततो गो मह आवह वात आवातु भेषजम्। शंभूर्षयय भून्नोह् देभण आयूं पितारिषत्। इन्द्रस ग्रहो सितन्वा प्पदयो
 पगद्य भू र्भुवस्तुःः पपचे जायु प्रपश्चे नाताँ न्देखतां पगचद $\because म ा न म ा ~$ खण प्बो* पजा पत वृृह कोझां हृत्ल पपद्य ओं भपदे। अन्त
 मान्तया छसरंया छासित मानसाने। पाणापानी मृ:योर्मा पानं
 दधात मयिमेघा मयिपजां मथीन्ट्र इन्त्र्रनन्दघातु मयिमेघां माये पजां मिल सूर्गें म्राजो दधतु ॥

A + to the advertisement by Mr. Mathuradas Lowji, alhaded to in the article under reply and in the note which you havo kindly appended thereto, l promise to undertake the task as soon as his aceptance of my proposial is communicated to me through tho 'Ineosorinist.

In commection with this subject, allow me to say that, after satisfying Mr. Mathuradas Lowji that idolatry is sanctioned by the Shastras, I would proceed to show, with some system, that it in supported by the ledus, and, further, that the Superior Mindu Ideatry is but philosuphic, and its practice thoronghly wholesome, and highly beneticial in several ways.

Yours thaternally,
October 11, 1889.
D., F.T.S.

## A NATIONAL FUND <br> for

## THE STUDY OF SANSKRIT.

## TO THE EDITOR OF THE "THEOBOPIIST."

I subjoin copy of my letters to the Viceroy and the President of the Educational Commission, and beg to uge upon all our Theoso. phists this golden opportunity of taking charge of the erlucation of their comitrymen, and aequiring their legitimate influenco with the Govemment aud the nation. I have requested the Educatioual Committee, i.e, the Theosophists, of the Hinclu Sabha, to take charge with me of the Madias Presidency Colloge in terms of my recommendation to the Government Elucation Commission, and I expect Local Branches and other associations to similarly take charge of other Colleges and Schools. This move and the scheme of Local Self-Qovermment, and my intention to move tho University Senate to patronize Sanserit literature more than is done at present, will relieve "Re-awakened India" of having a distiuct National Fund raised by sabscriptions. I protest against any one conducting a Sehool who has no faith in Soul and Spmitual Progress.

## A. SANKARIAH, F.M.U., F.T.S.,

l'resident Founder, Iindu Sabha.
'Trichoor, S'eptember 2, 1882.

To the l'rivite Suchetary to II. E. the Viceroy.
1)eal: Sir,

I have your himel reply to my letter on the national grievance of closing educational ind official distinetions to the Vernaculareducated natives of India. Probably the enelosed copy of my letter to the public will make my meaning clearer. It is two years since the Middle School Test superseded the general I'est Examination in this Presidency, and therefore even Vermacular examimations for elerkships are not held. Supposing such examinations to exist, I may yet say that preference under the existing spirit of exercising patronage will be given to those who pass only in Enslish. Surely it is demanding Utopian loyally and contentment on the part of 250 millinns of the Aryan pate with a fiterature Vedic, Scientific and Puranie, with bingdome in the past and in the present administered by Kings, Dewans and Judges not educated in English ( $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ oomeah of Mysore did not know English, and Rajalh Sir Dinkar Row, K.C.S.I., does not know it), to ask them tu be content with Vernacuar clerkships. Are persons fit only to be Vermacular clerks fit ander the most highly apmreciated Resolution of the Vice-Empress to be entrusted with lucal selfgovermment? I am a Bachelor of Arts, a Fellow of an [Jniversity and the Naib Dewan of a state. Aly colleagues in the Sabla are Graduates, Deputy Cullecturs, Sub-Judges, \&c. The Sabha is open only to Enghish educated IIndus; for, with the advice of my friends, Civiliaus, Missionades, and Military Ofticers, I have come to the conclusion that English should bo the common langhage of a!l Provincial and Lmperial assocations in India, but that it shouh serve in regad to the Vermacular Literature the same purpose which the Latin did in curiching European Literature. Sanskrit will continus to supply all words, and English, Historical, Legat, and Scientific matter. 'The exclusive patronage of English eclucated natives and indirectly teaching them to despise the Vermatalars and Vernacular scholars are neither in tho interests of the people nor in those of the British Guvernment, which is a God-send to Lndia. It will be an ever memorable stroke of statesmanship if University honors are thrown open to the Vernachar Scholar, and ofticial appointmenta up to Rs 500.

I beg you will be good enongh to lay this and the previons letter. before His Excellency, and fivour with commands

Your most obediently,
A. S.sikarmaif, F.T.S.,

President lounder, Hindu Sabha,

Trichoor, list September, 1882.
FiOM
A. SAskariah, Esq., B.A., F.M.U., President Fonnder of the Parent LIndu Sabia,

## ' 10

Tue Ilos. W. W. Ifunter, C.I.E., L.J.D., President of the Education Commission. Srr,-I beg to sulmit for eonsideration the following proposi-tions:-

1. That a kuculetge of linglish be no longer made in practice intispeasuble for immaion to at all events the Lower Grades of lumbe Service.
2. That araugements be made at one for closing suel Govemment lhara sehools and Colleges as are not requred (c.g. Calicut, the swaments of which will tee absorbed into the l'alghant High schoul or the Zamorin's Colleges or Mission Schools).
3. 'I that every concomagement be given to any Provincial Association ot geathemen who wall hold themselvers responsible for mathtamang such colleges as are still neated (o. $g$, the Prorincial Cohege at Combacomum) on a footing consonant with mational promples and feemos-in particniar that the pesent not oultay on eabh such coldegg bo hamded over tor a term of years to bhe booly bustertakiog to manatain it, and that this grant be gradually redued until at the emb of fifteen years the Vollege comes under the operation of the ordinary drant-in-Aid fode; that it wall be competant for the manging body to apply, whatever it may same, atter the prover mantenance of the college, to the oftering of the rewards tor translations and original puobications of seientitie and other works, and generally for the encouragenment of the limacelar learning.
4. I that tine large sum saved (a) at onec by the remoral of the Govermment Insthutions that are not required, (b) at the end of fifteen yoors by the reluction of expenditure on the remaining colleges, and the seate of glants-merad, be held as a satereal trust to bo dispensed for the encouragement of the lempocetor leaming, and the antasion of knowledge by means of the lormocuores, and that patrotic socieness such as the Ilimen Sabha be habitually consulted jutnotic socice of aphede ong this find.
5. The enclosed papers will give you a fair idea of the constitution and personnel of the lludu Sibha comprehending all the disuricts, castes and sect., oceupations and trades of the Madras Presidency:

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most humble and obedient servaut,
isil.) A. Sinkariah.

## LORCE AND MATLER.


In an aricelo, in the September number of the Timbosoritst, beaded "Is Electricity maner or foree I fimb that the writer considers it an established fact in Seience that blectricity is not mater. I am sorry torechat, young stadents of seience of tho present eremation are bold enough to hazard opinions of theirs in a journal of worldwide circulation like the Treosurnist. Would it not be more protent to thoroughly study a subject before passing remates on it? From what I find in the article, I think the writer has notendiciently read tho abject of Electricity.

Modern science has never so boldly expressed that "electricity was not matter." Certainly there is rreat tivision of opinion as regards the mootel point, hat the weisht of argmments in favour of the theory that electricity is mater is much stronger than that urged in farour of its being simply furce. What are there argumente, I need noticummerate here. But I invite the attention of the writer of the uticte in the Scptember 'Tumosolumst to the volumes on Electricity by Professor Maxwell, the great mathematicinn and the first anthority on the subject of electricity. Electricity has now become a subject of mathematice, and, we hope, will be soon necmately defmed. The outcome of tho conclusions of Maxwell, who has trated the sulject mathematically and with the greatest care, is that electricity is maller, and camot be motion.

I wouk atvie our friend, the writer of fhe said artiele, to ponder well, for a certain length of time, on the sulyect of "What is Force and what is Matter" before ho ventures again to write on the subject. IE would also do well to read in this connection Herbert Spencer's first Principles nul 'Thomson's Theory of Matter.

According to Spencer, our experimee of force is that which gives us the idea of matter, space anm time. I may say that tho Fide of Force is the Unity, nud onr ideas of time, space, and matter - He lherinity of modern seience.

Thomson, one of the hest scientifie philosophers of the are, perceives iu nin atom of malter a portion of somo All-Pervading some-
thingend wed with vortex motion. He thinks the utom - compeng. ing the Univere to bese manv whirlpaols in a vast ocenn.* In Maxwrll nud Rankins we find them cognged in mathematical resparches concerning this vorex motion. I arnestly hopo for the time when thi theory concerning mater will he universally acknowledged. When it is accepted. our mater will be regarded what it is-a morle of motion. Then the theories of Newt $n$ and Young concerning light will be reconciled; and I think modern ecience shall have very lithe diffentry then in recognising the possibility of Occult phenomenn.

Yours, \&e.,
Kmennadilan Mukerif.
Pleader.
Dankipore, September 23, 1892.

## IS ENERGY ALSO "MATTER ?"

## to tie Editon of THE "THEOSOHHIST."

The word Energy and its correlations are in constant use, and therefore it seems to me of grentimporfance that its exhaustive definition with apt ihnstrations should aplear in the Theosopinst.

By the way. I may as well. remark that if clectricity, isadmitted by sciemtific men as matter.t thete seems litto or no room for doubting energy to be likewise matter. But, then the question arises, whether there is a certain fixed quantity of it in curch man and capable of development. If so. how fares our energy when it is applied to some noble underaking wibhout nyy snecess? Is it in surh cases diminished in quantity, and the npplier heeomen a loser? I should think thit thongh eneroy in fuch eares may be diminished, fet the nohlo idea or motive, the heaven-hom will that directed and exerfed it, should live and bear fruits in accordmbe with its intensity $\ddagger$ But this agnin brings us indirectly to amother absamet question. Can any one have an actively uselul existence withoutany or only a inminal eneryy in him? I hat feel very giatetul io tho gentleman who will take the trouble of solving the above.

> Yours obedi+ntly,
N. W. I
13.

## EXTRACT FROM A LETTER OF AN ENQUTRER.

"If you will kindly excuso me, I wish to point out that your theory of futurity is no where clemly explained in tho "Few Worts to Laties deviring to join the 'Theosophical society." It is referred to in reveral places, bat not fully explaned any where. In one phace gou may 'tho traveller leaves your hospitahlo door : he passes into the world." What is the word into whirh the doad mortal passes and ever travels? Sumo of tha travelare, you say, die by the road-side, others pass on into immortality and some sink into nothinguess. I'hese three§ states after death tequire, I think, a elearer explanation than I find in the book.
"I Ehowed the Hindee trambation of the Rules and of the - Few Words, \&e.' to some l'andita, nud they eecmed to appreciate the precepts; but, like myselt. they falen to comprehend the 'Theory of futurity and etemity for the deal. The theory that tho soul has to traisel from world in world and existence to existrace and to tare ill or well according to acts done in cach worth, requires some thing in the shapo of proof "r recognized authority (like the Veda or the Biblo \&e.) on which it is founded. In wher respects the "Words" spem to bo admirably suited for the guidance of ladies."
L. S.

## Extract figm tie reply to tile above.

Purposely, in this book, no details as to Cosmogony or Occult Philosophy are given. These will be obtained later from other publications of the Society. I have no theory as to thic future statr, but alike in the Hindu, Buddhist and Tibetan Scriptures, the facts of that future (ascertained by the same process by which Arlepts in the present day verify them) are clearly set forth.

- Such is precisoly tho doctrine of Eastern Esotoric Scionco in rogard to Cormogony-EED.
$+1 t$ is not yot so admitted by them. 'Hhoy call it Forer, but are unable to prove it is not mater, nor to show was what is - Eb,
$\ddagger$ Our correspondent evidently leaves out if his speculation the doctrine of " ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Kariar correspond
§Or two, as dying and sinking into nothingness, in this case, probably pefer to the same class of event.

There are differences in details in the schools, principally due to the light in which God (an entity wholly beyond the grasp of our fiuite intellects, though revealed to each and all in glimpses) is recgarded-but, as to the main facts, there is really no difference; nay, the scrupulously concealed, as indeed they are in most Hindu Scriptures, you will find the same facts in the Bible, in the Zendavesta and in the books of Confucius and again in those of the Mahomedan Diwans. For there is but one truth and one religion, and all the creeds of the world, wortly the name, alike of the present and the past, are but off-shoots from the one primeval Religion.
I have even now a book on Occult Plilosophy, at least a sketch of this, in hand, based on the teachings of the Brothers. They of course follow chiefly the Book of Khiu-te, but there is comparatively little difference between what they teach and what a great Vedantist like our brother Subba Row of Madras accepts, and what my dear olil Paramaliansia preaches as the Adwaita Plilusophy of the Upanishads.

I an seuding you a little pamphlet, "Fragments of Occult Truth" No. III, and this, taken along with Nos. I. and II, formerly sent you, will couvey to you, incidentally, some conceptions of what we are taught about this life, whence we reach it, and whither we proceed. If you will read these carcfully, you will understand somewhat of the question-and if yon meditate on this, believe me matters will grow clarer. But remember one thing-tho purely carnal man ean no more understand spiritual things, put them before him as clearly you may, than the man, blind from birth, can understand light, reason with him, as you will. To understand and really grasp spiritual trutlis, you must meditate on them. You must turn your thourhts inwarls, you must open the cyes of your soul, if you are at all to understand and realize the Spiritual Sun, the great Spirit, the atma from which our Atma is derived and to which it returns.

But after all, you will say perlaps, what if these same teachings be found in all the creeds of the world, why need they therefore be true? Why may not one have copied from the others? Hinduism goes hack into the twilight of the human race-Buldhismand its Tibetan forms and Comfucianism are but off-shonts from this. Egypt took it from India, and so did Mesopotania, Bactria, Chaldea; the Jews from Egypt and Challen, and the Greeks and Rumans from Egypt, nud Mahomet from the Hoating traditions that sirvived the destruction of Alexandria (once the headquarters of the Ocrultism or Wisdon Religion of the world) and the sunpression of the Essencs, Gursties and other sects of Mystics (all seedlings of the old Clialdeo-Indian Occultism) some of whose knowledge still survives with the Druses. What if the old Hindus, subtle-minded, evolved this wondrous web out of their own inner consciousness and all the others only copied, borrowed, and stole from them? What guarantee liave we that the carly Hindus were right? And, " fortiori, what security for the truth of that version of their teachings, which has floated down to us, out of the dim caverns of the past, on the debris loaded stream of time?
The answer is plain and conclusive. There is this absolute security that all the leading facts of the future aud the past have been verified by living Adepts, cither by their personal observatiou, watching the progress of those portions of mortal nien that survive the decease of their bodies, or by and at the time of their union with the Uuiversal Intelligence, when thcir spirits are, for the time, inthabiting what may be called the region of Omniscieuce.
But how call you be sure that these men have done and do this ?
First you may presumo it, because they solemnly assure us that it is so, and it is inconceivable that menwho have utterly given up the world, indeed have put themselves out of the possibility of tamiliarly associating with the world, and whose whole extcrinh lives are spent in labouring for others,- should tell us aimlessly a series of
falsehoods. I disagree with them on many points; I think that, cut off from the world, they are continually wrong in theirmodes of action, aud I think their antiquated systemmoro than five thousand years old, by every fossil link of whose claims they are irrevocably boumd,-a pure anachronism, which, by its internal unsnitability for the present age, goos far towards neutralizing and nullifying the practical value of the priceless knowledge of which they are the custodians. But I can no more believe that they are a set of halitual liars, than I conld believe that you or others of my fricuds were habitual thicves or murderers.
Secondly, you may be disposed to believe, because they give practical demonstrations (rarely, no doubt, and only to those very sincere and very much in earnest, but still most of us have had them) first that they possess powers of dealing with and manipulating the physical elements, that even in the light of modern science seem miraculous, and second that they can separate their souls (or whatever yon please to call it, it is the himum rup, manus, bucdelhi and atma) from their bodies (stlouth and lingo sarivan and Prom, viz. vitall essence, the jivatma of some but not of the Vedantists) and, leaving these latter in one place, appear in another, materializing their inconporeal parts.
Thindly, you may be inclined to beliere by discovering that all they teach of cosmogony, of the world and of the universe, while it goes far beyond this, yet harmonizes with everything revalled by the most alvancel modern physical science, though it can be proved to have been on reeorl for at least 3,000 years in tho sacred books of the East.
But finally, and not to weary you with a multiplicity of arguments, you must believe it if you once really go i.to the mater, because they teach you how yon may grow up to be like themsclves (or some of then, for the very highest are the rare peats that the ocean of homanity yiells once at most in a century; inded of the rery repy highest, the last till now was Tsonkapa in the loth Century) and low you may gradually verify for yourself all the more important spiritual facts that immediately concern you in this life. The way is long and weary the dificulties, the sacrifices many and great, but there is the road clearly pointed ont, erery jew stepsallong which inere"so your purview and establish some portion of their teachings; and, in the face of this, it beconces impossible for any one, who personally investigates the question, to doubt that the teachings of the Brotirens, (which iudeal only reproduce what all the Sacred Books of all nations have tanght from lefore the dawn of the Wistorical period, are in the main correct, in regurd to all thuse quesilions which it is passible for them to rerity precticully. Gon is inserutable! the Absolute and the Infinite are beyond the grasp of the fivite and the conditioned; and hence on this point the schools have ever diffured, and on this point no man need accept any one school's teachings in preference. to those of another-it is beyond even Oceult Science.
H. X.

Edion's Notre:-And if "beyond even Occult Science"the Allepts of which are admitted by the writer himself to beable to "separato their souls from their bodies" and "materializing their incorporeal parts," to travel in those spiritual epheres, that bourne "from which no (minitiatel) trave her returns,"-. within whose "grasp" then, is that "inserntable" Gol to ho fomm? Asthagical intereme, it is these Ailepts-once we beliene in them-who ought to be to our mind the best informed upon the sulject, and to whom we ought to burn for information. The more so since "II. X." himself" whits that he "can no more belipe that they are a set of hatitual hars" ban he could believe any of his friends "habitual thiteves and morderces;" and the Brotukls, who tench him, toh him repeatedy. that they knew nothing of a Deity called by the theists the "Creator and loving Fathor of all hamanity." And if even max, the Brotiens, derlare to have no knowledge, moteven tho remotest comeption, of either a personal or impersonal Goil, but only of a one ala-pervading Principie; ambince, as conecely remarked by "II. X."-"the absolate mad he hatinite are begond
the graap of the finite and the conditioned"-ia it not far more honest to confess that we know aboolutely mothing of that Goi, and even less blasphemmas-in the very improbible case that such a God should exift and yet $r+m_{\text {ain }}$ unknown to all and abonlately beyoml any possible human proof-thon to go on investing it or him with imaginary attributes (finite attributes in somothing infinite ! l) and then imposing thut beiner, creatol hy human fancy, on the millions of the oi polloi and threaten them with hell and dammation unless they do believe in that anmething of which no one can know absolutely anything !-(Enitor pro tem.)

## "M. A. (OXON)" AND TIIE "BROTHERS."

> TO TUF EDITOR OF TIE " THEOSOPIIST."

## Dear Madame and Sister,

We, some of the Hindu Fellows of the Theosophical Society and Chelas who read "Light," have remarked, with feelings of deep regret and surprise, the hostile attitude that one of its best contribntors, aud, as we had rensons to believe, one of our Christian brothers-" M. A. (Oxon)"*-has, for some time past, taken up against our Masters, "the Adept-Brothers" as he clinoses to call them, in his "Notes br the Way," which have won our greatest esteem and sympathy by their able advocacy of things spiritual in these hard materialistic days. We Hindus are taught in our Shastras that even tolerably alvanced students of Occult Philosophy are liable to be deceived by derns or devatas (elemental spirits), and this affiorls the only explanation of the great inconsistency in the visions of different mediums and seers. Truth can be bat noe, and these apparent divergences are but disturbing effects produced by these elementals; and mo of the principal oljects of all Occultists is to train the wilt in such a manner as to be able to withstand the disturbing influence of these weird visitors, and to come face to face with living trutl. As for our brother "M. A. (Oxon)," since he is known as a great medium, as such his wilt-power must be perfectly nassive, and so we can easily understand how much more, then any other Spiritualist. is lie subject to all sorts of disturbing iufluences. This is the reason why only reneated acts of gross iujustice to our Masters (thic Himalavan Rrothers) on the part of "M. A. (Oxon)"-has induced us to put in this word of Protest.
In "Liglt" of September 23, "M. A. (Oxon)" quotes witl apnrobation, from the review of Mr. Sinnett's The Ocoult World in the Journal of Science, the following words :-
"Till some font-hntd of this kind is given us, it is neeless to bid usjonin the Theosophical Society or change our 'mole of life.' Tenchings, so indefinite, we ,"are compelled to rej.ct, not indeed 'supercilinusly' but sadly."
And, a fow lines before, he says in personâ propriâ :-
"But, after all, it is the crestion of crockery and the displanement of furniture that make most impression on tho avernge Plilistine."
Here we must confess, to no small surprise, to discover "the average Plitistine" under the mask of "M. A. (Oxon)." For the value of the teaching is here made to depend on the strangeness of the nhenomena and not on thic peculiar character of them. But to the really scientific mind a straw is not meroly a straw when it shows the way the wind blows. But, as for a Peter Bell, of course :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A puinnoe hy a river's hrim } \\
& \text { A yellowe rrimpore is to himm } \\
& \text { And tis nothin: more . }
\end{aligned}
$$

If Benjamin Franklin waited for some such foot-hold before drawing his conclusions, the Science of Electricity would have still been in its infancy. But, as we said

[^9]berore, we have nothing to complain against "M. A. (Oxon)" personally, for he is a medium and sees chings but throngh a glass darkly.

Mohini M. Chatterii, F.T.S.
Rema Sourindro Gurgra, F.T.S.
Chelas who
Nobin K. Banerjea. F.T.S.
S. Remaswamier, FT.S. KNOW THEIR Masters.
Darbhagiri Nath, F.T.S.
Darjeeling, October 17, 1882.

# FRAGMENTS OF OCCULT TRUTH. 

## No. V.

## the evolution of man-continued.

When we come to examine the evolution of man on our own planet, the exnlanation has to he drawn nilt on a larger scale than that which servel for a sketch of the whole cosmongony. Our carper on the nreceding ntonets of the deseonding serins is for the present over and done with. Few of us know a nough of our lives there to he curime about details. But here, the nennmana of the world about us and the neriod we are passing throuroh, are all renlete with interest. Our wish wonld he to get, nxplantions of the conlitions out of which these heve nriginatecl. and of the results to which they are tending, that slould be as enlarged and precise as our knowlodge of the aetual present. But in troth an exnlanation on that scale of the phemomana immediately nraceding ond immediatelv following our nresent lifo. would require an exhaustive knowledge of all natural laws and morations lving outcile the nlyvieal gronn we linve grown fumiliar with. Ant tha neroncition of this knowletgee would invelve the emmpletn develmoment of sciences the varv alnhishat, of which is liidten from the world as wort. In short, it is no lase imnscsible to commrehend all Nature's dontil--t'e infinitelv little-comnlotelv, as it is to fathon the infnitely great. But just as the mincinlos of evolution conried on through the cories of wollds, of which nure nianet is one. have heen fouml sucentition of an exolunntion which, if not minute, is sufficiently definite to ion intenlicible. an it is poscible now ton sketcl the process nf nenlution carriad on in the case of this single planet. The area of nature to be dealt with is less enormous, and therefore a treatment of its phenomema, down to a correcmonding level of detail, gives us a closer insight into the process under investiontion.

And $\hat{n}^{\circ}$ striking illustration of the unifnmitins of Vature is bronght nut by the first glance at the Oecult. Anetrine in reference $t_{0}$ the development of Man on the Earth. The outline of the design is the same as the outline of the more comnrehensive design covering the whole chain of worlds. The inner details of this world. as regards its units of construction, are the same ns the innor details of the larger organism of which this world itself is a unit. That is to say, the development of lumennitv on this earth is accomplisled by means of successive waves of develonment, which correspond to the successive worlds in the great planetarv chaiu. The great tile of human lifo. he it remeinbered,--. Sor that has been almady set forth sweeps rnund the whole circle of worlds in successive waves. These primary growths of lumanity mav he conveniently snoken of as Rounds. We must not forget that the individual units, constituting each round in trirn, are identically the same as regarls their higher nrincinles, that is, that the iudividualities on the earth during Round l, come back again after completing thair travels round the whole series of worlds and cunstituto round 2, and so on. But the point to which snecial attentinn shonld be drawn here is that the individual unit having arrived at any given planet of the series, in the conrse of any given Rmind, does not merely touch that planot and piss on to the next. Before passing on, ho han to live throngh a series of races on that planet. Anrl this fanst suggests the outline of the fabric which will presently
develop itself in the reader's mind aud exhibit that similarity of design on the part of the one world as compared with the whole series to which attention has already been drawn. As the complete scheme of Nature that we belong to, is worked out by means of a series of Rounds sweeping through all the worlds, so the development of humanity on each world is worked out by a series of races developed within the limits of each world in turn.
It is time now to make the working of this law clearer by coming to the actual figures which have to do with the evolution of our doctrine. It would have been premature to begin with them, but as soon as the idea of a system of worlds in a chain, and of life evolution on each throngh a series of re-births, is satisfactorily grasped, the further examination of the laws at work will be greatly facilitated by precise reference to the actual number of worlds and the actual uumber of rounds and races, required to accomplish the whole purpose of the system. For the whole duration of the system is as certainly limited in time, be it remembered, as the life of a single man. Probably not limited to any definite number of years set irrevocably from the commencement, but that which has a begiuning, progresses on ward towards an end. The life of a man, leaving accidents quite out of the accouut, is a terminable period, and the life of a world systen leads up to a final consummation. The vast-periods of time, concerned in the life of a world system, dazzle the inagination as a rule but still they are measurable: they are divisible into sub-periods of various kinds, and thesc lave a definite number.
By what prophetic instinct Shakespear pitched upon seven as the number which suited lis fantastic classification of the ages of man is a question with which we need not be much concerned, but certain it is that he could not have made a more felicitous choice. In periods of sevens the evolution of the races of man may bo traced and the actual number of the objective worlds, whicb constitute our system and of which the earth is one, is seven also. Remember the Occult Scientists know this as a fact, just as the Physical Scientists know for a fact that the spectrum consists of seven colours, nud the musical scale of seven tomes. There are seven kingtoms of nature, not three as modern science has imperfectly classified them. Man belongs to a kingdon distinctly separate from that of the animals, including heings in a bisher state of organization than that which mauhood has familiarised us with, as yet; and below the mineral kingdom there are three others which science in the West knows nothing about; but this branch of the subject may be set aside for the present. It is mentioned merely to slow the regular descent of the division, in Nature.

Man-returning to the kinglom wo are most interested in-is evolved in a series of Rounds (progressions round the series of worlds) and seven of these rounds have to be accomplished before the destinies of our system are worked out. The round which is at present going on is the fourth. There are considerations of the utmost possible interest connected with precise knowledge on these points, because each Round is as it were specially allotted to the predominance of one of the seven principles in man, and in the regular order of their upward gradation. But here again is a realm of inquiry that for the present can only be glanced at.
An individual minit, arriving on a planet for the first time in the course of a round, has to work through seven races on that planet before he passes on to the next, and each of those races occupies the earth for a long time. Our old-fashioned speculations about time and eternity, suggested by the misty religions systems of the West, have brought on a curious habit of mind in connection with problems bearing on the actual duration of such periods. We can talk glibly of eternity, and going to the other end of the scale, we are not shocked by a few thousand years, but directly years are numbered with precision in groups which lie in intervening regions of
thought, illogical Western theologians are apt to regard such numbering as nonsense. Now we at present living on this carth-the great bulk of humanity that is to say, for there are exceptional cases to be considered later-are now going through the fifth race of our present fourth round. And yet the evolution of that fifth race began about a million of years ago. In a very interesting volume of Western Science, Professor James Geikie, writing of "The Great Jce Age," is good enongh to push back the origin of man on earth to interglacial epochs in a way which expands the biblical five or six thousand years into something over 100,0:0. The book is not at hand as I write, and I forget the cxact figures reached. But what is this calculation beside the facts of the case as revealed by Ozeult Science? Will the reader, in consideration of the fact that the present cosmogony does not profess to work with eternity, nervo himself to deal with estimates that do concern themselves with millions of yeurs, and even count such millions by considerable numbers?
Each race of the seven which go to make up a Romnd, i. e., which are evolved on the Earth in succession during its occupation by one of the great waves of humanity passing round the planetary chain, is itself subject to subdivision. Were this not the case, the active existences of each human unit would be indeed few and far between. Within the limits of each race there are seven subdivisional races, and again within the limits of each subdivision there are seven branch races. Throngh all these races carh individual human nuit must pass during his stay on Earth each time he arrives there on a Romend of progress through the planetary system. On reflection this necessity should not appal tho mind so much as a hypothesis which would provide for fewer incarnations. For, however many lives cach individnal unit may pass through while on Earth during a Round, be their numbers few or many, he cannot pass on-except in rare cases to be noticed hereafter-until the time comes for the Roundwave to sweep forward, and as the interval of time occupied by the stay of a Round-wave on Earth may bo roughly conjectured from the fact that our present fifth race, by no means worked through yet, hegan a million of years ago, it is lighly desiralide that Nature should find for each of us some occupation where-with to pass the time. Eveu by the calculation already foreshadowed it will be seen that the time spent by each individual unit in physical life, can only be a small fraction of the whole time he has to get through between his arrival on Earth and lis departure for the next planet. The lirger part of the tine-as we reckon duration of time--is obviously therefore spent in those sulbjective conditions of existence which belong to the "Worlid of effects" or spiritual Earth attached to the physical Earth on which our objective existence is passed.
The nature of existence on the spiritual Earth unust be considered pari-passu with the nature of that passell on the physical Earth alone dealt with in the above conumeration of race incarnations. We must never forget that between each physical existence the individual unit passes through a period of existence in the corresponding spiritual world. And it is because the conditions of that existence are defined hy the use that has been made of the opportunities in the next preceding physieal existence that the spiritual Earth is often spoken of in Occult writing as the World of Effects. The earth itself is its corresponting World of Causes.
That which passes into the World of Effects after an incarnation in the World of Canses, has been deseribed in the "Fragments of Occult Trutl" relating to the seven principles of Man. The individual mit or spiritual monad camot but pass into the spiritual condition, but, as alrendy explained, the extent-to which the persmality, just dissolved, passes there with it,--is dependant on the qualifications of such personality, - on the use, that is to say, which the person in question has male of his opportunities in life. Thus the period to be spent in the World of Effiets,--chormonsly
longer in each case than the life which has paved the way for existence there,-corresponils to the "Hereafter" or Heaven of vulgar Theology. And here it is interesting to digress for a moment to take note of the rolative scope of Vulgar'thenlogyand of Ocenlt Science. The narme purview of the former deals merely with our physicallife and ita consequences in the life to come. For want of knowing better, theology conceives that the entity concerned had its beginning in this phusical life, and, from the samedisabilities in the other direction, it concecives that the ensming spiritual life will never stop. Aod this pair of existences which is slown by the mere dements of Oecnit. Science that we are now unfolding, to constitute a partomly of the entity's experience during its comection with a branch race, which is one of seven belonging to a sublivisional race, itself one of seven belonging to a main race, itself one of seven belonging to the occupation of Earth by one of the seven Romul-waves of humanity which have each to occupy it in turn before its functions in mature are conchuded-this microscopic moleculc of the whole structure is what common theology treats as more than the whole for it is supposed to cover eternity.

The realer must here be warned against one conclusion to which the above explanations-perfectly accurate as fal as they go, but unt yet covering the whole ground-might lead him. He will not get at the exact number of lives an individual entity has to leal on the Earth in the course of its nccupation by me Ronnd, if he merely raises seven to its third power. There is a mystery here which the groat teachers of Occult Seience have not vet thonght fit to disclose to the laity. If one existence only were passed in each branch race the total mumber wonld obvinusly be 343 . But the actual mumber is more than that, thongli nothing approaching the number that wonld be gut at if we supposed seven scparate incarnations to take place in each branch race. The explanation linges on tu some mysteries of detail in reference to which it is thonght premature to convey information at present.

The methodical law which carries each and every individmal human entity through the vast evolutionary process thus sketched out-is in no way incompatible with that liahility to fall awsy into abomomal destinies or ultimate annibilation whioh menaces the personal entities of people who cultivate vary ignoble affinities. The distribution of the seven principles at death shows that clearly enongh, but, viewed in the light of these further explanations about evolution, the situation may bo better realised. Tho permanent entity is that which lives throngh the whole series of lives, not only through the races belonging to the present Roundwave on Earth, but also through those of other Rounclwaves and other worlds. Broadly speaking, it will in due time,-though at some inconceivably distant future as measured in years, -recover a recollection of all those lives, which will seem as day in the past to us. But the astral dross, cast off at each passage into the World of Effects, has a more or less consciuns existence of its own, which is quite separate from that of the spiritual entity from which it has just been disunited. The intensity of this consciousucss varies very greatly: from absolute zero in the case of a person whose life has been so supremely good and spiritual that he has engenclered no low affinities, to full consciousness in the case of entire abs.rption by the astral principles of all the expiring life's recollections and affuities. The destiny of the astral relique in either case has been the subject of abundant discussion of late, but the point to which attention may be specially called now is the mystery of dual conscionsness on the comprehension of which the comprehension of the actual course of events must depend. Occult pupils are tanght to realise the pessibility of dual consciousness by practically developing it during life, exercising the imer clairvoyant faculties on our set of observations or ideas and the physical senses with their appropriate intellectual faculties on another set of observations or ilens at the same time, but to ordinary people the double perceptions rarely come by Nature, not,
at all events, with any such intensity as to render their character apparent. On the other hand, the possibility of dual conscionsness in life for ono person is nut bevond the range of ordinary imagination; and by dwelling on the notion it is not difficult to realise the way in which one haman indivilual, as we know him in life, may divido up into two conscions individuals at death, neither of which is in any way a new insention, while ench is distinctly conscious (so far as its conscionsmess is clistinct at all) of ilentity with the late physically living entity.

A correct appreciation of all this sorres a double purpose ; it solves once for all those apparently comflicting prassages in occult writing which scem at different times to attributo such different destimies to the human entity and enables one to comprehend the gencral scheme of human evolution and the whole vast phantasmagoria of existence through which the enduring principles which eonstitute our ligher individuality are passing, while remaining equally prepared to investigate the sirle paths of super-material development along which the intermediate priaciples of our nature may travel, after quitting the most transitory principles that are built, up to serve their brief purpose from the physical elements of the earth. And in the phenomeua of dual conscionsuess lies the chne to that mustery on which the continnity of onr higher existence depends. For many people it must romain irational to say that any person now living, with his recollections loounded by the years of his childhood, is the same person as some one of quite a novel nationality and cinch wholived thonsands of years ago, or the same that will reappear afrer a similar lapse of time under some entirely new conditions in the future. Put one of his dements of dual consciousuess is the same, and the other cloment is only a temporary eftorescence of the first. The feeling "I am $I$ " is the same through the three lives and through all the homdreds; for that feeling is more deeply seated than the feeling "I am John Smith, so high, so heave, with such and such property and relations." Is it inconceivable,-as anotion in the mind,that John Smith, inheriting the gift of Tithonus, changing his nome from time to time, marying afresh cvery other generation or so, losing propertr here, cuming intopussession of property there, and getting interested as time gues on in very varions pursuits, might utterly forget in a few thousand years all cirmmstances comected with the present life of John Simith, just as if the incidents of that life for him had never taken place? Aml yet the Ego would be the same. If this is conceivable in tho imagimation, what cin he inconceivable in the individual continuity of an intermittent life interrupted and renewed at regular intervals, and varied with passages through a purer coudition of existence.
M. le Bon has been making a series of very important cxperiments on putrefaction, with regard to its effect on living animals in genemal, and on mankind in particular. Among other results, he has proved that tho very small quantity of products of alvauced putrefaction, necessary to kill an animal by simple mixture with air, proves these volatile alkaloids to be extremely poisonous. M. le Bon has ascertained that these are so to man. The experiments explain the evils arising from bodies long buried, and prove that the atmosphere of cemeteries (contrary to what has been affirmed on the score of there being few microbes present) may be very dangerous. This is, indeed, alarming, and slould be a strong argument in favour of the compulsory int oduction of cremation, or some other sainitary method of disposing of the dead, rather tham by burving them and causing positive danger to the living. According to M. le Bon's theory the common practice of visiting cemeteries and grave-yards nught to be discomraged as much as possible.-The Hioner": September 23, 1882 .

## Incuiclus.

## TME AKYA <br> AND ITS

## " OUT'S'TATION" CORRESPONDENCE.

There exists a class of man-among the great variety composing genus homo-who, by their moles of thought and action, have to be viewed as a distinct group, a specimen entirely suigeneris. We would bottle and label them as tho " [ndia rubber," or "Elastic men." 'These individuals, whenever defented, will neither hile their diminished heads, nor will they honestly admit that, which, to all others, is an accomplished and an undeniable fact : namely, that in the affray, whentever its nature, they have come out second best. On the contrary, pradently allowing a certain period of time to elapse between the event and a fresh attack-a period sufficient, as they crattily calculate, to sweep away from people's mindsthe cor rectremembrince of dreals-they will prounce most unexpectelly upon their ex-antagonist and try to crack his head. They will, once mone, impose upon the public an absolutely false accomit of facts, and feel placidly confident that they have whitewashed themselves in the sight of some weak-minded fools.

Such is evidently the malignant purpose of "AN Outstathon Aryan Commsponnent" in the Ocholer number of the Arya-a purpose that conld be formed ouly by a mind originally and essentially clestice, aud executed by an intellect naturally narrow, and a mode of reasoning enfeebled and contracted by bal education.

It is sufficient to reed the first para of " A Summary Review on (?) Extra Suplement (sia) to the TheosoPirist for July," to smile in sincere pity at the puny efforts of that unlucky advocate of a canse perdur. We camot help almining, though, the sublime coolucss with which he opens the fire from his popgom, in the first para:-" In reply to Colonel Olcoti's defence against Sivamiji Dayauand Saraswati's charges I (and who are you, Babu "Sic Onacle ?") can in no way see that in any ono single instance does the Colonel prove that those charges are not well founded and perfectly correct."

And forthwith ur brave Volunteer, for "Forlorn Hupe," sets out,--in the very face of facts and Swami's suicidal autographs engraved from his original letters and published in the July Surplement,- to prove that white is black and vice rersa. "A summary Revien" being, of course, unvorthy of a serious review, or even a passing notice in the Theosophist, we write these few lines with guite a different object than that of answering the unknown "I." Indeed, no same man,-acquainted with Swami's many public and emphatic denials that he liad ever belonged to or permitted his mame to be entered as a menber of the Theosophical Society, -conld undertake, after reading the said July Supplement, to express but one view upon the question. In the presence of-(a) Swami's autorgraph letter authorizing Colonel Olcott to represent and act for him in every meeting of the Council of the Suciety; (b) his hetter acknowledging the receipt and acceptance of a Diploma from New Yurk, which make him necessarily a Fellow, he having kept that Diploma for nearly two years before sending it back, or, in other words, resigning ; and (c) Mrs. A. Gordon's letter testifying to the ficet that she was initiated by Swami Dayanand Saraswati at Benares, something plainly showing that Swami must have been himself initietend before he could initiate any one else, hence that he woes a "Fullow";-in the presenco of these three facts alone, we say, who but an enca $y$ of Swami wonld care to revive in the public memory the recollection of his exposure and of his fruitless attempts "to cog the dice and shave truth," as Mr. Artemus Ward would say?

Thus, it is nut the luckless "Outsiration Combespondeni" - who, in his lame would-be review, only outwits Limself, and " shouting at $\AA$ pigeon bills but a crow"-that
we address, but the Editor of the A,ya. We had always regarded him as rather a discreet, truthful, and intelligent young man. Hence-oursincere and rather amused surprise. Conceding to him willingly the said qualities, wo are compelled to suspect that he has suddenly turned an enemy to his great Patron. Otherwise, how should he permit such an awkward and dangerous question to bo revived in the columns of his monthly? Unwilling to suspect his own good faith, we are vainly sceking for a plausible motive that could have made him depart from pradent policy. It cannot be that he jumped at the opportunity of giving a hit to a sleeping rival through tho hamb of an anonymous correspondent, for he is too intelligent to bo ignomant of the fact that aboso from certain quartors is tho highest praise. Tre abuse and hate but what we ficar.

What is tho Theosormist more, imled, "than a series of stories of Bhats, Jins, \&e." ? This sentence alone afforls us the correct standard of the intelligence of the "Octstatron" reilic. Well, we reply that, even were it so, the Tinbosopriser would have yet that great alvantage over the drye (empecially in its ortoler garb) that it can appear on the drawing-roon table of the highest and most respectable European families, as well as in the hancls of the most imnocent Ary.un maiden or boy, without any fear of shocking the modesty of either. We are sinry to wherve this new departure in the Arya. The disgusting and indecent wording of the articles-"Ayur Veda on Healti" and "Pihysobogical Yoga of Tantra Pirmosorny"-is amply sufliciont to make any journal lose all thove subseribers who have any sense of decency, at any rate among resjectable native families and Earopeans. Even parely medical works ame journals, when of red to the general public, avoid such sincere phascology, and, for the sako of that same decency, give cert tin words in Greek or Latia. We are afraid that, unless our colleague prudently veils in future the naked hideonsness of his terms "in the obsentities of some learned tongue," the Pustal authorities might be under the painful necessity of interfering with the free circulation of his inspired urgan. Why our modest and pions friend, the Elitor of the Arya, should have suddenly begun vying in obscenities and immodest terms with the venerablo pro thet of Istael, Hoseah-is another psychological mystery that no Uceultist could ever undertaks to unriddle.

## 'THE ASLAN CRADLE-LAND.

If the intelligent Asiatic would not see himself put to shamo by haviug to learn from Earopeans everything worth knowing about his forefathers and their comtrics, the must bestir himself. It he sleeps a little longer he will have to blush to find that, while his lazy indifterence has insulted the memory of the ancestral parents of the world's peoples and languages, their white descendants of the West are praying them the reverence which they had the best right to expect fiom ladia. The work of Mr. A. H. Keanc, just published, is, ats it would seem from the reviews, a most valuable contribution to contemporary literature. It is a minror of every essential fact about the physical geography, the fama and flora, the commeree and inhabitants of the vast purtion of the cacth called Asia. So admirably condensed is its style that, says Netere, " overy word is prognant with meaning, and conld not be omited without injury to the reader." If it has a blemish, it is in being "culited by Sir R. Temple"-a cuncession to that spirit of modern toalyism which would luave even Herschell or Huxley in the lobby until "presented" by a court butertly to Queen Popularity. Hindus may estimate the feeling of the West with respect to their motherland from this passage in Nuture's review of the book in question: "To the student of mankind
the interest of Asia is greater than that of any of the other continents of the world. Here was the first home of the races who have chicfly influenced the course of human progress; hers the early civilisations of Accad, of China, and of Phonicia grew up and developed; here the great empires of antiquity rose one upon the other; and here uas the primexal source of thass germs of thought and art that have producer the philosophies, the sriences and the arts of our own lay. It is among tho multitudinons tribes and nations of Asia, too, that we can best study that variety of latrguages, of manners, and of customs which have enabled the modern inquirer to lift a little the veil that covers the beginnings of civilisation, and there are even some who belicve that the great central plateaut of Tibat before it was raised to its present elevation whis the primecal crudle of mankind, the spot uwhere the anthropoid ape becume the still speechless man. It is possille that our yount and therefore arrogant Western civilisation has yet much to learn from the old culture of the East.

It is not often that more truth is compressed within a brief space than is contained in the sentences we lave italcized. Verily we may prognosticate the dawn of a better day for Asia and the world, when the esoteric records of primeval man shall be real by the modern student. In its full light the clouds of error and superstitious bigotry, which have so long obscured the understauding of the Western world, will be dispersod, and Asia be finally recognized as the source of not only philosophy, scienco and art, but of religion as well. Let us hope that in this most necessary and profitable research after primitive truth, the bright minds of Asia will take the conspicuous part for which their inherited intellectual aptitude so well qualifies them.

## OCCULI AND SPIRITUAL PHENOMENA

## IN THE higitt of modern science.

## BY H. P. BLAVATSKY.

thave just received Light,-the ablest of the Spiritual periodicals of Eugland,-of September 23, and read its "Notes by the Way," contributed by "M.A. (Uxon)" with an unusual interest. So great was the latter indeed, that it makes me depart for once from my editorial impersonality and answer the "Notes" over my own signature.
Not further back than a year ago, especially if I had read those notes in the parched and scorching phains of India, I might have deeply resented their unfriendly tone. But now from an altitude of over 8,000 fect above the sea level, having just enjoyed the privilege of passing 48 hours in the company of those much doubted Brotiers of ours, and cortain of our Thcosophists, morenver, who crossed over to Sikhim and made their personal acquaintance, representing additional legal evidence in favour of my claims,-I ann rather inclined to feel amused than otherwise.

Indeed, I find that neither that very unfriendly tone assumed for some time past against myself in the "Notes," nor even the incessant thrusts in the direction of the Brothens, are capable of ruffling my present placidity. Xet, I confess that, coming as they do from one, who neither himself, nor his "Imperator" (for whom, I believe, lie must feel as much reverence as I do for our Protectors and Mastris, ) has ever been spoken of in a mocking or contemptnous tone nor even in an unfriendly way in our joumal-clocs seem rather startling. At any rate, "M. A. (Oxmis)" present attitude is rather more dangerous for himself, aud the cause he represents and labours for so zealously, than it is for the Brothers or even my own humblo solf, siuce, indech, his hearty approbation of the inimical criticisin that closes the review of Mr. Sinnett's Oceult World in a"Scentific paper he quotes from, seems directed far more ngainst Spiritual plenomena in general, and uediums and "Spirits" in par ticular, than it is against Occult Science and its great living Professors. I will say
more: in one who claims publicly-and makes no secrot of being in direct and constant communication with, and the moutlpiece of, "Imperator"-a high Spirit-such 2 policy proves simply suicidal. For, who will dare denynot any man of science, at any rate, or the same Journal of Science-that "M. A. (Oxon's)" claims are certainly no more-(and strict logicians as well as an impartial jury may say far less)-demonstrable according to the laws of inductive science, or even judicial evidence than our claims to an acquaintance and interconse with living Brothens. Really our friend ought to abstain from throwing pebbles, into his nearest neighbourr's premises. In both "M.A.(Oxou'ss)" and my case, the object of proof--so difficult of demonstra-tion-is the real, palpable, and undeniable existence of "Spirits" and "Brothers;" their respective claims (or rather those made by ourselves, their humble moutlipicces, ou their behalf) to superior knowledge and powers, appearing but of secoudary importance in this whulesale denial by the sceptical "Plilistines" of their very being. Reviows are interesting, not merely because they slow what our friends and cucmies thiuk of us, but also because they afford us a safe estimate of what opinim our critics hold of themselves. Such is the double benefit I derived by a perusal of "M. A. (Oxon's)" note on the review of the Occult World by the Journul of Science. Not only do I perceive the correctness (to a certain extent) of the criticism of orthodox exact science,-though feeling as sure that neither the discovery of a new planet or mineral would satisfy her sceptics-but more than ever do I learu that it is idle to expect anything like fairness even from the most intelligent and friendly critics, once that their minds are biased and prejudiced by a series of miscouceptions. With "M. A. (Oxou's)" kind permission, I will, in my turn, review his strange review. There already appears in the present issue another letter signed by five of the Chelas of our venerated Masters against a series of criticisms from the same pen, directed against them, in Light. They perceive in this," attitude of hostility simply the "effect of mediumship" and suspect "Imperator" of being no better than an Elemental Spirit, but I protest، against this misconception and would never permit myself personally to throw suspicion or slur either upon "M. A. (Oxon's)" persmal good faith or that of his "contrul," as he constantly does with regard to our "Brotiers," and the writer of the present. I will content myself, then, with simply quating from his review and pointing out his strange attitude. He says :-

The Journal of Scienee has unw completed a candid and temperate norice of Mr. Simett's Occult World. The writer denls with the cuidences of extraordinary power, such as the creation of the cup and sancer at Simba by Malame Blavatrky, fairly, and in a judicial spirit. He considers that the marrative must be accepted as a recod substantially nceurate of a real occurrence. Ho puts aside the supporition of an elaborate fraud as "literally bristling with difficulties." and arriven at the conclusion that "the cup and sancer were produced in the earth where found, by an agency to us inconceivable." This is a startling coucession when it is considered from what quarter it comes. We are so accustomed to find the inexplicuble or the unexplained treated by open science as the impossible, efpreially in the ea-e of paychical phenomen, that this candid consideration of an antccedently incredible statement is as startling as it is welcome.

So far this sounds protty friendly, even though the admission of "M. A. (Oxon)" allows as good a handle against spiritualistic phenomena as it does to those of the Occultists. But soon the tonc changes and the probable genuineness of the phenomena being conceded, their nature is taken to task.
"I entirely appreciate." says "M. A. (Oxon)," "the words of the Revicwer when he points out that such ferts, so like mere jugnlory, nre, by no means, the best evidence of superior knowledge. "Suppose the Brotherhood were to say, 'Point your telescopo to such and such a spol in tho heavens, you will find a planet as yet unknown to you, having such nud such elements', or 'Dig into the earth in such a place aud you will find a mineral containing a metal uev to
your science : its atomic weight, its specific gravity, \&e., are so and so.' Such or similar proofs, not of superior power but of higher knowledge, would not increase tay man's facilities for evil-doing." Rather, I may add, would they increase the store of human knowledge, and prove incontestably the presence among us of some beings wiser and mate benefieent than we. But, as the Reviewer points ont, we search in vain for any such evidence. "Thill some foot-hold of this kind is given us, it is useless to bid us join the Theosophical Sucicty or change our 'mode of hefe.' Teachings so indefinito we are comperled to reject, not indeed 'superciliously,' but sadly." It is impossiole to find any reasombie fand with such an attitule. It is true that the Adept Brothers pose as men reluctant to open the don of knowledge to any but the most patient and persistent appeal made by one who has proved himself a wortly postulant. That is an uthitude ineompatible with some steps hately raken. Too much or too little has been said in their name, and the result is bewilderment and confusion."

Such is the sentence passed on the Brothers, or rather on myself, their humble disciple. Now what would the average sceptic-who believes in neither " Imperator," nor the "Brotners," and who regards just as much" M. A. (Oxon)" as H. P. Blavatsky in the light of a hallucinated lunatic when not a wilful impostor,-what would a sceptic say to this? Outside the believers in Spiritualism and Occultism-a handful as compared to the bulk of man-kind-any average sceptic would simply laugh at such a criticism when it emanates from a well known spiritualist, a medium who himself claims a personal communication with a "high spirit" and many minor ones. Can the Spiritualists point to any of their phenomena of a "higner" character than the few trifles kindly shown to the author of the Occult World? Have their medimms, the lighest, the best of them, for the last furty and odd years of their activity, made any one single discovery that would benefit humanity or even science? Are the contradictory, conflicting bits of philosophy kaleidoscopically extibited by "Spirits" through mediums, one whit higher than that contained even in the few stray letters published in the Uceult World? Has even "Imperator"" proved himself in his teachings any higher or more philosophical or learned than Koot-Hoomi, and has he ever consented to appear before the "average Philistine" or to give an undoubted demonstration of his personal reality except, perhaps, in the presence of the very, very few,-at any rate by fir fewer than those who personally know our Bno-rinens;-or finally, has even he, "Imperator," that "great and wise spiris" who ought as such to be far more powerful and learned in the mysteries of undiscovered planets and minerals than the highest Adept-Occultist living,-if the spiritualistic theory be true,-has even he, 1 ask, ever benetited the world of science or the profane public, or even his own medium, by any great discovery, which, " increasing the store of human linowledge," has proved him thereby-a being "wiser and more beneficent" than we "and the Bro'rners?" "M.A. (Oxon's)" review is therefore a double-edged sword. While trying with one side of it to hit the Brothers and the Occultists, he simply cuts, and very badly too, himself and spiritualism with the other. Paraphrasing the words of the lyeviewer and of "M. A. (Oxon)" I will close my remarks with the following:
"Till some foot-hold of this kind is given us," it is useless to extol the "Spirits" and " Mediums" above the "Brothers" and their Occultists. The attitude of the former is truly "incompatible" with their forty years of ardent activity, and no results whatever; and, while we all know what the "Spirits" have hitherto been capable of, no Spiritualist is yet in a position to say what benefit may or may nut betall the world through the "Brotners," since they have but hardly appeared on the borizon. Patience, patience, grood friends, and critics. "Bewilderment and confusion" are far more on your side than they are on wirs and-qui vieru verra!

> Teendaria, ncar Darjiling in the Himalayas,

October 23.

LES ORIGINES.
a bird's-eye view.

## BY PESTANJEE DORABJEE KHANDALEWALLA, F.T.S.

Our solar system, with all the stars which compose it, is but a point in immensity. Following the hypothesis of La Place to which nothing las yet given the lie, these stars themselves were but one single whole. It was an immense nébuteuse, such as one sees even yet in the infinity of heavens, and which are probably so many sums in forma. tion. Little by little our aebuleuse concentrated upon itself heat and light in one powerful focus, but left here and there masses of cosmic matter in its course through space, which formed the planets. These latter in testimony thereof revolve in the orbit of the sun, of which they are an emanation. The globe we inhabit is then but a partacle of the sun, which becomes extinct in cooling, cnveluping itself in a gascons ocean the atmosphere, a liquid ocean the sea, and a solid crust the earth, whose culminating points emerge above the waves.

Animal life awoke at first from the boson of the waters, where it was represented from epochs the most ancient, that is to say, there have been thousands of ages through the intermediate species of the animal and the vegetable kingdoms like the corals and the sponges ; then come the mollusks, the crustaceans and the first fishes. At the same time the algae and the fuci took birth in waters less deep, the air saturated with carbonic acid and azote developad ou half submerged grounds a vigorous vegetation, where arboreseent ferns and calamites predominated, the debris of which are yet to be seen in the mines of the anthracite and the pit-coal. The first in the order of existence are the simple organisms, both in the animal and the vegetable kingdoms. But time sped, eycles rolled, and the work of creation pursued itself; old beings were transformed into new, or new ones were created. The organism became complex, functions were multiplied, and life took decided possession of the carth, the sea and tho air where it bloomed in a varicty of forms, more rich and energetic in its means of action. At last man appeared on the scenc, and for our eartl at least " God" rested.

Thus going up the scale towards a more complete life appears to have been the law of the physical worh, as it has been later on of the intellectual world. Jn the geologrical epochs nature modifies the organism and by consequence the functions, and develops those instincts which are the first light of intelligence. In the historical epochs, civilization modifies the social order and clevelops the faculties. In the first case progress is marked by change of forms, in the second, by change of ideas.
At what epoch then does man appear on earth? It is but twenty or thirty years that unexpected discoveries have exploded all the old systems of chronology, and man himself enters the list of the geological evolutions of our globe. The Hints and bows cut into hatchets, knives, needles, spear and arrow-points; the bones of large animals broken for the sake of the nourishing marrow; the mass of shells and the debris of repast; the cinders of an antediluvian hearth; the designs traced upon omoplates and chests, representations of animals which have disappeared to-day, or have been transplanted to remote places, other than those they then there inhabited; in short, the human debris found in the depôts of the quarternary epoch, and the traces of humau industry believed to have been established up to the tertiary period, prove that wan lived at a time when our continent had neither the fana, the Hora, the climate, nor the configuration that they have todiy. On the steeps of the Lebanon as in the grotoes of Perigord, in the valleys of the Himalayas as in those of the Pyrenees, on the banks of the Missouri as on those of the Summe, primitive man shows himself with the same weapons, the same customs, the same savage and
precarions life which certain tribes of Africa, of Australia, aud of the New World retain to our day. The future king of the world was but a product the most miserable. Thus the science born of to-day has put back the birth of humanity to a period which is lost in the night of time. It is the age of stono that can be divided into several periods, each of which is in advance of the one that goes beforc. They commence from stones grossly transformed into implements and arms, and from caverns serving as refuge to those artistically worked and polished, to the potterics fashioned by hand and ornamenter, to the cités lecus/res or habitations on piles, in short, to the clolmens, the menhis, the allées couvertes, those pretended monmments of Druidical art that one meets with in France and in Fingland.

Are all men deseended from a single couple? Yes, if mity of species owes to be decider by the only consideration of fecundity of mions between all the varieties of the human kind. But physiology and the science of languages establish many great differences betwen the human races from the Greeks, who hase serval as model to Apollo Belvedere and Yemus of Milo to the negro of Congo of whom they would willingly make a perfect monkey if science establishes no anatomic obstacle to that descent.

The varioties of the human species hare become immumerable under the influence of crossing climate and soil. We ordinarily know of three principal races: the White, the Yellow, aud the Black. To these one can attach no end of intermediate slades arising ont of melanges, which operate themsclues upon the confines of the three dominant races. If their origin is common, they have at least developed themsclves in three distinct regions. The White, or Camcasian, on tho platean of Iran, whence it spreal on to ludia, the west of Asia and the whule of Europe; the Yellow, or Momgolic, in China, in the north of Asia, and the Malayan isles; the Black, in Afriea and in Australia. The Red-Indian of' America bespeaks a Mongolian origin.

The languages, too, divide themselves into three great families, represented by mouosyllabism, agghtination, and flexion. In the idioms of the first kind there exist radicals only both substantives and verbs, which the voice expresses by a single sound, but the sense of which varies according to the phace given them in the phrase, and the relation, they find themselves in, with other words. In the second, the radical remains invariable and angments itself by the juxtaposition of particles, which can be recognised, and which answer to all the grammatical categories. In the third it mulergoes changes, which, in changing the sound, the form, and the accent, express for the substantive, the gender, number aud case; and for the verb, the moods and the tenses. Thus the languages of flexion are the instruments the most perfect, which can serve for the exposition and the development of ileas. All the languages spoken now or formerly upon the globe represent one of these three phases. The most complete those of the White race belong to the thirch. The Turancan languagesTartar, Turk, Fimmis, Camil-those of the African and Indian tribes or agylutinative lauguages belong to the secomb. Old Chinese stopped short at the first family, and advanced but slowly towards the second in our day, preserving its fifty thousand characters (ideographic) for writing, which primitively were each like the Egyptian hieroglyphics, the image of an object or the conventional representation of an idea. History which recounts the transformations of all that has lived, has nothing to say regarding the Black race whose existence rums out in the impenetrablo depths of Africe, like those rivers with minnown sources which go to lose their waters in the sands of the Desert. We know no more about the American Indians and the tribes of Oceamia, for our science is born of to-day. Are not palcuntology and comparative philology but growths of yesterday? They only raise but it corner of the veil which hides physical creation and the origin of civilisations.
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## THE INDIVIDUALITY OF BRANCHES.

It seems desirable to point out to the members of all Bramches of the Theosophical Socicty that, however close may be the bonds of Brotherly union and sympathy uniting them to the Parent Body and to one another, the great purposes of our undertaking will be served rather than impeded by the development of very distinct individuality on the part of each group of Theosophists, which possesses a separate organisation. The propriety of emphasising this iden arises partly from the fact that ahnost all men are more or less under the dominion of some lingering sectarian proclivities. Of course this journal can never defend or countenance sectarian proclivities. It has braved many enmities in turn by assailing first one hard and fast creed, and then auother. It has repeatedly published criticisms unfavourable to Vedantism, Buddhism, Hinduism, and Christianity, in their various orthodox forms. It appeared for more than a year with an advertisement inimical to that of the Veda Bhashya and :epresenting the views of the Benares Vedantins. It has lately advertised Anti-Christian tracts, the sale of which, permitted at this Office, was so rapid that there were soon no more left to advertise. In doing all this, it has faithfully worked on the principle that hasty critics lave regarded its policy as contravening, viz., the principle of the fundamental unity of all great religions, to which, in the highest development of his perceptions, every student of religious philosophy must rise. For, the recognition of the fact, that all are fundamentally identical, bririgs with it a realisation of the sad truth that all are superficially erroneous. Absolutely pure theosophy must be antagonistic, -within the limits of that enlarged tolerance which its study inculcates,-to every differentiated creed. Nor, in dealing practically with any special distortion of true philosophy which this or that organised religion may have been guilty of, is it always possible or desirable to wrap up such criticisin in claborate phrases destined to flatter the sensibilities of people who may be wedded to the special distortion under notice. As far as this journal is concerved therefore, it will continue in the future, as it
has in the past, to be honest and outspoken, whether in dealing with the subtle metiphysical delusions of Asiatic faiths, or with the cruder misconceptions of spiritual things which have been crystallised in the curions creed which modern European churches are pleased to call Christianity.
But while this journal and the Parent Theosophical Society must thus maintain a strictly impartial attitude, both by reason of the principles represented and the equal duty owed to Theosophists of all varieties of sectarian inclination, there is rom, within the broad fold of Theosoplyy for those very varieties out of which the considerations we wish to put forward have arisen, A knowledge concerning spiritual and Divine things is surely attainable with much greater precision than conmon place modern philosophy dreams of ; it has been attained by great Theosophists in all ages; it is recorded in a hundred enigmatic volumes, the comprehension of which exacts the care and effort which in due time it will so well reward, and the pursuit of this knowledge is one of the great aims of the Theosophical Society. In such pursuit, men may come in to join us from all four corners of the compass of thought. Nothing in their comexion with any faith can disqualify them, if that comexion has not beeome hardencd into the one thing of which a true Theosophist maj be intolerant,-intolerance. And another great aim of the Theosophical Society has been to show how the pursuit even of the lighest philosophical knowledge must itself, to be succossful, be wedled with the wish to do good to the whole family of mankind. As a mere intellectual linxury, sought for in a selfish spirit, spiritual knowledge itself must necessarily be futile and unprogressive. This is a great mystic truth, and out of the full knowledge thereof on the part of those from whom the Theosophicial Socicty received its creative impulse, has arisen that pimary, watchword of our Association-" Universal Brotherthood." Brotherhool would be indeed far short of universality if it shat men out for differences of creed, and hence it is not an unfortunate condition of the Society's existenceit is an absoluto necessity of its growth and healthy
development that its members should entertain all mamer of divergent views. Through the outer crust of each man's faith, the earnest Theosophist will undoulterlly penetrate, if he persevere to the primary rock on which we all staud ; and some Theosoplists, so penetrating, will come to have no rag of preferenco left for the particular exoteric effloresence of religion in which he may happen to have been originally nurtured. On the other hand, some thinkers, real thinkers and thoronglly bencficent seekers of trintla, will retain such preferences to the very end, preferences which lead them to sublimate and idealise rather thau cast off the costume of thonght in which they have been educated and to which they may be condeared by many fond associations. Some of those, who, for practical work in the world, may be reckoned perliaps among our best Theosoplists, cling in this way to certain aspects of religion and must be credited with what have been spoken of above as sectarian proclivities.
The object of those who direct the affairs of the Parent Society should certainly not be lostile to any such sentiment. On the contrary, there is no renson why a man should not love Truth and therefore be a suitable candidate for membershin in our Society,-there is no reason why he should not be lieartily desirous of doing good in lis gencration, and so in one form or another realise our leading aspiration towards Universal Brotherhood,-mercly becanse lie also loves the associations which attach themselves to the religion of his fimily and race. It is a full recognition of this which originally prompted the formation of Branches having some special religious denomination, and it is a conviction now, that these consideratinus ouglit not merely to be recognised but dwelt upon and strongly recommender to the attention of all Branches to the case of which they may lave any kind of application, that has prompted the present aldress. There is nothing prejudicial to Theosoply at all in the maintenance by any Branch, disposed to sucl preferencos, of a specific religions denomination. It is impossible that any bigot, be lie Hindu, Vodautin, Christian, or what you please, can ever be moved in the spirit to outer this Society at all, so there is no room for apprelending that any such branches, lowever definitely they may describe themselves as emanating from the bosom of any given faith,-will sin agrainst that tolerance which is the one sine qua non of Thensophic aspiration. But without being intolerant of other faiths, people, with the tenter preferences spoken of above, will prefer to work under the inspiration of habitual aud congenial ideas even at the task of philnsophic inquiry,--or at all events they may so prefer and in that case it should not be supposed that Theosophic principles are opposed to such inclimations.
Thus we return to the point from which we starter : the desiralijility that Branclies slonould in monst cases be something besides a bit cut ont of the main fabric of the Theosophical Society. Of coursc there is no definite obligation on any Branch to chonse a special designation and give itself a special colouring of even thic most gentle and diluted sectarian opinion. It may be that some Branches will resolutely adherc to thic primary idea of the Parent Society and will refrain from adopting any special designation. And while somo may profer to be known as the Buddhist or Hindu Theosoplical Society of such and such a place, or oven the Cliristian Theosophical Society in the case of Western branches, others will perlaps prefer to take a designation which may emplase a special colouring ontside religious matters altogether. Thus it might be possible to imagine that a branch might, if the tentency of a majority among its members set decidedly in that direction, elect to call itself the Scieutific Theosophical Society of such and such a place, or again elsewherc another department of enquiry and effort, that would still be fairly Theosophical, might lead another branch to identify itself specially with prac-
tical work in education and to describe itself accordingly. One important branch of the Society already has a special designation in the line of such a classification as is hero suggested, and is widely known as the Simla Eclectic Theosophical Society, a designation which implies that this branch makes a choice, as regards its practical work, among the varions objects and molertakings with which the Parent Society has been identificl, and has an individuality of its own,--though nothing ean be less dilettante or fastidious than its principles, for the primary qualification for membership of that branch is that all persons seeking almission shall be outspoken, fearless and zealous workers on behalf of tho Thensophical Society at large, and, in the words of the special obligation, undertake to mako a desire for their own moral improvement and the good of their fellow creatures "the guiding principles of their lives." It is worth while, in passing, to call the attention of Irdian Branches generally to the fact that this exceptional energy on behalf of the good work we are all engaged iu, has been exhibited by a branch which, though not exclusively of Euronean constitution, was nevertheless of European origin. It is amoug the people of this country that the good to be done in the long run by the Theosophical Socict, will mainly be wrought; and it is reasonable that this should be the case when the Society, even as founded in New York, had its ronts in this country all the while. But still it should stimulate Indian zeal in the whole undertaking to observe that the branch, which has most of an Anglo-Indian colnuring, is heartily responsive to the philosophical benefits it lans received through the instrumentality of the Parent Society, and second to none in zeal for the cause which that Parent Society embodies.

And it may be regarded as specially useful at this monent in striking the key-note of what seems now required, - the greater individualisation of branches. In this address the inlea has been thrown forward in a rough state. We trust that it may be the subject of consideration and suggestion on the part of the branches generally in the course of the ensuing montl.

## THE ROCHESTER (U. S. A.) THEOSOPHICAL sOCIETY.

Professor A. L. Rawson, LL.D., F.T.S., as delegated representative of Major General A. Doubleday, Acting Preṣident of the (New York) Theosophical Society, organized at Roclester, N. Y., on the 27 th of July, the local brancl for which a clarter had been duly issued from the Blombay Heal-quarters. A new form of ritual for initiations was used for the first time on this occasion. Professor Rawson reports the.t this new group of Theosophists includes "some very substantial thinkers and workers, of both sexes; among them Mr. W. B. Shelley, a genticman of very respectable social position, Mrs. Cables, a laty who possesses certain strange psychical powers, which she accounts for by a rational interpretation of natural law, and Judge Sluart, who has occupied the highly lonorable station of Surrogate of the county, these past sixteen years." "I might," says Professor Rawson, " make equally good mention of the other members of the Branch. They, each and nll, have a profound regard for the Founders of the Theosophical Society, and were charmed to hear my relation of the incidents which occurred during my visits at the cradle of the Parent Society before you left tor India. Vivid and pleasant momories of that home of devoted students enable me to dispel many false rumours that lave been set afloat by unprincipled enemies intent on misclief to the Founders and the Society.
The clarter issued from Bombay and countersigned hy General Doubleday, was delivered over by me to Mr. Shelley as the President of the branch Society."

## THE SIMLA ECLECTIC THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

We have received the following report from one of the officers of this Society :-
"On the 7 th of October a meeting of this brauch was held at Simla for the transaction of routine business, twelve months having elapsed since the Society was formed, and the sules requiring the annual election of officers. The outgoing President, Mr. A. O. Hame, delivered a brief address and proposed as officars for the ensuing year-

Mr A. P. Siunctt, President ;<br>Culonel W. Gordon, Vice-President ;<br>Mr. E. Fern, Secretary.

"This list having been unanimously agreed to, the meeting broke up."

## KIND WORDS FROM STRANGER FRIENDS.

Among the worst specimens of the disreputable class of American jouruals, is a truculent weekly organ of Spiritualism, whose name we will not dignify by giving it publicity in these pages. Its Editor, once a man of reputable character, has gone mad apparently, and taken the place of chanmion of dishonest mediums, in whose behoof he defames and abuses all honest Spiritualists and others who denonnce them. He has long paid us the compliment of traducing us in such violent terms as to provoke the sympathy of many who would otherwise have had no interest in us or our movement. We find in Mr. Bennett's Truth Seelier for August 5, among the letters from lis subscribers, ove from a Mr. W. R. Frink, of Dubuque, in which, after quoting from the wretched paper above indicated, an abusive paragraph to the effect that the Founders of the Theosophical Society had been "kicked out of India by the Brahman pontiff [meaning Pandit Dayanand !] as being unworthy of his toleration," he remarks to Mr. Benuett :-"The above you personally know to be false and defamatory; and what adds to the mendacity of the editor, it is copied from a paper which he affects to despise for its duplicity and falsehood. Now I have carefully read every Theosopmist that has been published since Colonel Olcott and Madame Blavatskywent to Iudia, and I fail to see that they are being likely to be kicked out of India, but, on the other hand, they have been received with open arms by the educated and intelligent Hindus. Notwithstanding the slight kieks administered by the editor of . . . and the Rev. Joseph Cook, their popularity is increasing.
"As to their attempt to supplant Spiritualism, I have seen nothing of the kind in their published speeches or writings. They differ from the Spiritualists in regard to the forces that produce the so-called spiritual phenomena, which they lhave a perfectright to do untii Spiritualism proves itself more infallible than it is at present.
"As to the difficulty between Dyanand Saraswati Swami and the Founders of the Theosophical Society, it is but slight, as I understand it. The learned Pandit felt aggrieved because the Thoosophists receive into their Society orthodox Brahmans instead of confining admissions to the Swami's own sect. Besides, the Swami has admitted that the Occult powers of Madame Blavatsky are Yoga, which the editor of $: \quad$. well knows, if, as he says, he has 'carefully followed their doings in that land of priesteraft, India.' And surely the present attitude of the Spiritual papers and Spiritualists toward each other and toward their mediums will fully warrant the conclusion that they are controlled by super-human, elementary, or earth-bound spirits rather than beneficent human spirits.

[^10]We have copied this well-meant note, not to help vindicate ourselves from the aspersions of our slandererfor that was not worth the trouble-but to show our unknown friend that his kind words have reached us at the other side of the globe, and that we are not ungrateful. In another letter to the Truth Seelier, from a Utah correspondent, Mr. Frink is mentioned as "a man of considerable scientific acquirements": to which may now with propriety be added, "and a strong sense of justice."

In the same paper for July 15, was a letter from another correspondent, a Mr. D. R. Burt, in which the writer says he feels greatly obliged to Mr. Bemnett for a long and able notice of Mr. Sinnett's Occult Worll, of the relations between our Society and the " Great Teachers of the Snowy Range of the Himavat," and of those mysterious phenomena and "sultle forces of nature that are being investigated by Colonel Olcott, Madame Blavatsky, and the astral Brothers. I was surprised at seeing rather severe and unkind criticism on Mr. Bennett's communication in conuection with this subject in Mr. Chainey's This World of April 15. . . We consider it a broad assumption for anyman to say what forces are not in nature or set limits to her possibilities. I have had an experience with phenomena of a nature parallel with some of the incilents related by Mr. Bennett, and could our critic have shared with me, he would never havo characterized the communication as 'rubbish.' "

## dersonal ※tems.

Colonel H. S. Olcott is expected back at the Headquarters about the 2 nd instant, as already announced. The programme of lis next Indian tour, which will then be settled, will duly appear in the Trieosormis'r. The invitations, already received, will, of course, receive the due consideration of the President Founder, in drawing up the progranme. By the time this issue reaches our Subscribers, the Colonel will have already reached Bombay.

We are sorry to hear of the death of Mr. K. G. Desh. mukh, the son of Rao Bahadur Gopalrao Hari l)eshmukh, F.J.S.,, Vice-President of our Socicty and President of the Bombay Branch. Rao Bahadur Deshmukh became a Fellow, while the Founders were yet in America, and has always remained a friend, and true to the Canse. We, therefore, take this opportunity of expressing our profound sympathy for him in his present bereavement.

Mr. D. M. Bennett has safely reached home after his voyage around the world. After leaving Ceylon, he went viä Java, the Straits Settlements, China, and the Sandwich Islands, to San Francisco; thence, by the American transcontinental railway-a trip in itself of 8,000 miles-to New York. At every stage of his land-journey, he received warm welcomes, public and private, from the host of Freethinkers, to whom his treatment by the biguts and his plucky and self-respectful couduct under persccution, have endeared him. Public receptions, pie-nie parties, speeches, addresses of welcome, pems, private soirées, aud, lastly, a banquet at New York were the practical responses of his numerous admirers to the slanders of Mr. Cook and his sympathetic eulogists.

## "H. X." ANI) THE " BROTHERS."

the protest of the adhi moorte bhmatre timeosophical nocrety of belihampore, bengal.
to The editor of thie " Theosomutst."

Dear Madame,
We, the undersignel, the members of the Adhi Bhoutic Blatru Theosophical Society of Perhompore, are greatly pained at the tone of your correspondent "H. X.'s" letter published in the lasi (September) Number of the ThensoPHis', It is rather surprising that one, so well eilucated and
seemingly so well aequainted with the Holy Himalayan Brotherhood, could think of usiug such unbecoming language towards them. We beg, therefore, to give expression to our entire sympathy with the protests published (which some of us have alrearly signod) and the remarks made by yourself in the editorial hote.

That even a person of so high an education aud intelligence did not hesitate to allow his feelings to have the better of his reason is a proof of the oft-repeated remark that the time for publicly teaching Oceult Philosophy has not yet come-especially for the Europenus.

Some of the arguments usel, are, to say the least, rather curious than appropriate. Because "no un-initiate can infer thereficm that he moderstands the real meaning", the deduetion is that "Isis Cnveiled teems with what are practically crrors" to all but the $\Lambda$ DEPTS ? We would like to have the standard of judgment of these lay jutges.

It is hinted that "into sayings purposely dark and misleading . . . a number of distinct errors were introduced" by yourself and Colonel Olcott, in consequence of your imperfect knowledge of English at the time of writing the book; but, immediately after, it is adled:"if I am correct in the above it is best to say so plainly once for all :

When one is not sure of the so called errors and purposely misleading sentences, it ill becomes him to ask the authors to admit, their existence, especially when more than once it was already distinctly affirmed in the Theosormst that ther is no essential difference between the teachings of the Brotuers as given in Isis and as occasionally given out now in the journal, except that, in the former, we find merely the outline of the Philosopliy, while, in the Theosomus's, details are carefully entered upon.

Your learned correspondent finds fanlt with the ADEPT Brothers for not teaching him all they know, and at the same time he confesses that-" We receive certain instructions, and portions, of what we are taught, we reproduce as occasion demands." And if they, the "lay chelas," reproduce but portions, and not all that is given them, why slould not the same right be conceded to the ADEPT Brothers? Is it becanse they think themselves better judges in such matters than the Mahatmas: Are they prepared to deny the truth of the remark that " the time has not come for giving more than stray glimpses of the truth to the world"? If" so, we beg to invite "H. X.'s attention to the treatmont he himself has received at the hands of ellucated Eughishmen in the Anglo-Indian papers; to the able preface to the second Edition of the Occult World; and even to the vile insinuations against the character of Anglo-Indian Lady-Theosophists unblushingly published in the Englishman, in connection with certain phenomena.
"H. X." asks in a dictatorial tone why the Brothers do not teach him at once all they know, after keeping back such things as would invest the pupils with occult powers. But how does he know that such a measure is practicable and that it would not be keeping back the very kernel of the science taught? In the Hints on Esoteric Theosophy (page 29) it is plainly stated that, if one end of the chain were put into our hands, some of us are intelligent enough to work out the whole, even in spite of the Brothers. Has "If. X." forgotten the difficulties that stand in the way of the Brotuers? If not, how can he call it a sin-(bold language !)-and reprimand them in print? To say that the Brothers hold all knowledge only in trust for the world is what we can never agree with. It would have been perhaps better to add-" for the deserving few."
"H. X." is aware that there have been instances of Englishmen and Europeans rising to high adeptship. There is even one now, to our certain knowledge, in Tibet. How is it then that even they,-notwithstanding their previous Western training and elucation,-after having conquered their innate dislike of subordinating their will to that of their Gunus and reaching the same powers-
how is it that even they, those Europeans, do not give out to their countrymen cren so much, or so little, as our Asiatic Aubiprs? This one fact ought to satisfy "H. X." and others like himself, that there is a very good reason for it. The whole tone of his letter betrays an utter lack of patience, a haughty spirit of rebellion quite incompatible with Chelaship, and feclings of irreverence positively recolting to the Findu mind.

The very arangement of the three courses of the "traditional formula" shows in what direction the wind blows. A Hindu would have mate the seem his first and never thought of writing the latter maless he happened to be as changeful as a weather-cock. As Colonel Olcott remarked in his Madras lecture, our motto should be "What good ean we do" and not "What goorl can we gain." The latter follows the former as a sequence.
According to our Hindu teaching, five encmies (residing in every man) have to be overcome before he can be initiated; viz, Kama, Krodha, Loblua, Moha, Mada (Lust, Anger, Greed, Ignorance and Vanity). The last mamed (Vanity) is the smallest or lowest; while Lust is the highest vice. The tone of the letter betrays that, in the case of the writer, the battle, even with vanity (the smallest of the indwellers), has not yet been commenced. Had it been otherwise, we would have been spared the painful sight of seeing our revered Mahatmas brought down so often rud so disgracefully to figure in public controversies, compared with the modern European, mentioned in connection with "certain lines" of knowledge, and criticized as "according to European views." All this is neither "just" nor "generous." So long as this vanity is not cliscarded and the so called "European views" valued at their proper price, it is but just that the Brothens should not part with more knowledge than can be safely trusted into such hands.
What has been the result of this modern Europeau standard of judgment? How is power being utilized in every Department or Branch of physical knowledge? A glance at History will show us that the euergy of the civilized world is mainly directed to perfecting and multiplying weapons for the destruction of human life on one hand, and, on the other, to the creation and the multiplication of human neeis and their gratification. It is not certainly to the protection of human life and the reduction of the wants of the civilized world that Physical Science is directing her attention. Need we remind the realer that, in this respect, there is an enormous defference letween the ancient Indian and the modern Western civilization and improvements? The object of the first was to ward off untimely death, to reduce the sum of human slaughter-with the view of avoiding future suffering-and to reduce human needs to the narrowest limits possible. It is an absolute truism that, when both sides are armed with weapons of equal force, their relative power, in the abstract, is not affected in the least, while the multiplied destructive power of the improved engines of destruction results in greater loss of life on both sides than would otherwise have been the case. And still, modern civiliza-tion,- though fully alive to that fact-is claily encouraging cvery ways and means for a still greater sacrifice of human life, without, for a moment, giving a thought to the enormity of the sin and crime. We canse to wonder when wo come to consider the direction of the current of the so called civilized public thought of modern times. Built, as the whole fabric is, on the foundation of egotism and self-interest, it cannot be otherwise. If, on the contrary, the basis were self-denial, then the very groove of thinking would have been different. Aumal bratal force would have yielded before charity and spiritual love; pride and conceit would make room for gratitude and sincerity ; and "H. X." would not have called, as indiscrectly as he has, our submission and the subordination of our will to that of wiser and unselfish men, "slavish," but would have realized the wisdom of the provision. Instead of curbing his independence of thought, the rules of the Order-
as "H. X.' ought to be aware-make it an essential condition for the pupil to possess. Like a wise father hesitating to trust a box of matehes into the hamels of his infant, or who gives it only on condition that the child shall not use it except under his direction and with his permission,-tho Onderr have their own wise rules intended to guard agaiust all misuse of the terrible knowledge which confers such powers on man and for which they are alone responsible. How can "H. X." merely on the streugth of his own conjectures, deny the Brothers this right of judgment, and, after dragging them before the public gaze, talk so lightly of them, instead of acknowledging his gratitude for the opening of his spiritual sight-is more than we can understand or allow to pass without protest and notice.

Until "H. X." show's himself really deserving of the knowledge he craves for, it becomes him little to complain of his slow progress: much less to talk so disrespectfully of the ways of persons admittedly superior to him in knowledge, and so immeasurably purer and holier in life. Is it becoming on his part, we ask, to cast on our holy brothers aspersions of the following kind: "When umble to answer your argments, they calmly reply that their rules do not admit of this or that." $\Lambda$ writer,--who, buta few lines back, declares that ho would be satisfied with only so much of the "knowledge which would not involve conferring on people unwurthy . . . occult powers
abnormal powers over their fellows"
and who turns round the next moment and, because further insightit into such powers is refused, brands that honest and straightforwand refusal as unfair and "repulsive" to him,-transeends, to say the least, the boundaries of fair criticism and falls into vulgar denunciation, especially wheu he himself is constrained to admit that, after all, there may really be some good grounds for such a policy on their part, and "which, if known to us, might wholly change our verdict, and so it may well be that they are right and we wirong." Moreover, we fail to see why, if this "position" is so repulsive to "H. X.," he does not "close his comnection with" our Maintmas for good. Surely, they could never be the losers thereby; and all such threats must find them as serene as the elephant of the fable whom the mosquito hoped to frighten with his buzzing! Our fraternal regard and esteem for our brother, "H. X." compels us to address him a fervent request that he will be less hasty in his utterances and more respectinl to his superiors in knowledge and purity of life, in future. We are fully aware that Englishmen do not like to subordinate their will to that of any other man living. It is characteristic of vanity and pride and commendable but fiom the European stand-point. But, in such a case, why have any thing to do with our Asiatic Manatmas at all? Why not be content with Western knowledge? We Hinlus can all answer that it is not THEY who seek intercourse with and offer their services to the white foreiguer. None but a European-and of these very few indeed-will thrust himself munvited into another man's house, and then abuso roundly his host for not permitting him to open and pry into all the secret drawers and closets of his own house. The present attitude of "H. X," amounts just to that. He,-who would blush at the mere idea of realing, without permission, another man's letter,-demands, is a conmueror's right, that the Mahamas should open before him all their secret knowledge, whether he is worthy or not of the sacred trust.

One word more before we cluse. 'The undunbted learning and intelligence of " H . X.," if he only reflects upon what he has done, ought to enable him to see the moral effect of his prosent attitudo and the great harm he has been doing to the work. Will not the Browners be more than ever justified, hereafter, in suspecting the profession of devotion of men of "H. X." type who can change their fiout so suddenly? Do not his denumetions and sweeping charges justify the Browners' great circumspection in rospect of him? Does it not also show a greater neces-
sity for secrecy than ever? Indeed it is more than apparent now that his devotion and adherence to the canse are not yet fully determined. In mure than one place, the language employed is that of a calculating politician and his final decision may yet be modified by considerations of personal convenience and comfort rather than an unselfish onc. How can lee, in such wavering and hesitating a mood, secure the confidence of the Brotherhood whose rule is--" Forget self for others and there are those who will look after yourself." Fortunately, not all the Europeans are as hauglity and as intractable as "H. X." who does more harm than gool to our small group of candidates for chehuship). We know of other Europeans who, without surrendering their will or becoming slavish, are beloved by our holy Mahatmas for their sincere and warm devotion to their personalities and to the cause of Truth. May ruey shower their blessings on all such pationt, devoted students! The so called "slavish" subordination of our will to that of our Mastens-men admittelly so vastly superior to us in knowledge, intellect, and spiritual powers, and, therefore, better able to guide us toward the coveted goal-is simply an outcome (a necessary consequence) of our contidence in and devotion to them. It is never demanded by them who confer on us such blessed favours, but voluntarily surrendered by the pupil himself, of his own free will and choice, and out of a just appreciation of their responsibilities and a self-consciousness of our ignorance and consequent inability to always form correct judgments. The intellectual virtues, on which "H. X." prides so much, can avail a pupil but little in Oecult. Sciences, thongh it may help him on to a cerlain extent in his acquisition of the knowledge and powers.
(1.) (Signed in the original Protesis) Rai Bahadur Nobin Krishina Banerjea, President of the A. B. B. T. S.
(2) Telok Chand Nahar, F. T. S.
(3) Than Sing Boynd, It. I'. S.
(f) Girish Chumerer Bhottacharjee, I'. 'I'. S.
(5) Kailas Chundro Shaw, F. T'. S.
(i) Dina Nath Gangooly, F. T. S., (Migh Court and Goct. Plecteri.)
(7) Shautcome Mookherjec, F'. 'I. S.
(8) Rekhahdas T'u"aftar, F. T. S.
(9) Shymma Cl. urn Bhatta, F. I'. S., (Ileculer.)
(10) Jyotimoya Banerjea, F. T. S.
(11) Natar l)as, F' 'I. S.
(12) Kusum Vilas Rayclaudhuri, F. T. s.
(13) Patiam Banerjee, I. T. s.
(14) Bindı Bashini 1 Mebi, F. 'T. S.
(15) Shukada Sundari Dubi, I. 'I'.S.
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It is with deep regret that we lave to amomec the mintimely death, on the 10 th instant, of Rew Bahnulur Gopal Govind Phatak, First-cliess Sub-Judge of the place, who had lately joined the Theosophical Sociuty, and become a member of uir branch. He had a liking for Theosophical studies and showed a desire to promute the iuterests of the Society. We have lost in him an excellent brother.

Navroja Durabil Kifandamaha,
President of the Poona 'Ilreo. Society. Poona, October 14, 1882 .
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[^0]:    * This word, as used in Vaidentic works, is generally misunderstood. It does not mean the negation of everything ; it means " that which does not exhibit the "Truth," the "illusory."
     Adhikarana Málá (note)-Tré
    + Satva (roodness), Rujus (foulness) and Tamas (darkness) are the three qualities.
    $\ddagger$ Chandogya Upanishat.--Tr.
    I/ This portion has been condensed from the original.-Tr.
    § These, it is supposed, inchude the whole range of Rupa loka (the world of forms) in Butdhistic esoteric philosophy.-Tr.
    - These terms will be more fully explained in the appein-dix.--Th.

[^1]:    *The ratholicity of Bialmoism has been dwelt ilmon at large in my semman the chatacteristics of Brabmoism (ree R. N Bose's Jikitrites or Sermens, lill 1 ) ideliverel the above sermon some twenty vears age.
     lumilhini l'atsike (the organ of the Adi Brabmo Somaj). I anncxed to it Sbastric authorities for my views ant opinions. But the Editor of the foumal in question could not publish them for want of space,

[^2]:    * Babu Debendranath Tagore who was Prosident of tho meeting in Which this leoture was delivered,

[^3]:    * Brilliant and epigrammatic a writer, and profound an Ocenltist, ans was the Abbé Constant (better known by his nom-dc-plume of Eliphas Levi), the great bulk of his writings would, we fear, do little either to interest or iustruct our readers. Still there are prassages in lis writings so pregnant with a higher meaving that it seems to us that it miglit be weil to reproduce, from time to time, in the Tincosonuist, translations of some of these. To Indian readers at any rate, they will open an entirely new vista.
    + Accordiug to the Kabalists, the three Fings or Magi were white, black and brown. The white presented gold, the symbol of Life aud Light. The Black presented myrrh, the symbol of Death and Night ; and the Brown presented the frankinceuse, the symbol of Divinity and of the dogma which reconciles the antagonistic duads of the Universe.-Rituel, p. 98.

[^4]:    - Sce Plato's History of the Atlantis as given by the priests of Sais to his great ancestor Solon, the Athenean law-giver.

    Atlantis, the submerged continent, and the land of the "Knowledge of Good and Evil" (especially the latter) par excellence, and inhabited by the fourth race of men (we are the fifth) who are credited in the Papol- I'uh (the book of the Guatemaleans) with sight unlimited and " who knew all things at once." Eliphas Levi refers to the secret tradition, among Occultists, about the great struggle that took place, in those far away prehistoric days of Atlantis, between the "Sons of God"-the initiated Adepts of Sham-bha-la (once a fair island in the inlaid Sea of the Tibetan phatcan, now as fair a land, an oasis surrounded by barren deserts and salt lakes)-and the Atlantians, the wricked magicians of Thevetat. (See Isis, Vol. I. P p. 589.94 ). It is a well established belief among the Eastern and especially the Mongclian and Tibetan Occultists that tovard athe end of cevery race, when mankind reaches its apex of knowledge in that cycle, dividing into two distinct classes it branches off-one as the "Sons of Light" and the other as the "Sons of Darkness," or initiated Adepts and natural-born magicians or-mediums. Toward the very close of the race, as their mixed progeny fumishes the first pioncers of a nevy and a higher race, there comes the last and supreme struggle cluring which the "Sons of Darkness" are usually exterminated by some great cataclysm of naturc-by either fire or water. Atlantis was submerged, hence the inference that that portion of the mankind of the fifth race which will be composed of " natural-born magicians" will be exterminated at the future great cataclysm by-fire,

[^5]:    * What was in reality that much maligned and siill more dreaded goat, that Baphomet regmeded even now hy the Roman Catholics as Satan, the (irand Master of the "Witches Sahbath," the central figure of their noctumal orgies? Why, simply l'an or N.stunes.
    + By " the dogma of elementary forces" Eliphas Levi means " spirit" and "matter," allogorized by Zoroaster, for the common herd, into, Ormazl and Ahriman, the prototypo of the Christian "God" athed "Wevil ;" and epitomized and summed uy by the philosophy of Ocents Smience in the "Hhman Srial" (Body, Soul, Spirit-the two poles ame the " midalla nature" of man, the perfeet mierocesm of the One Universal Macrocosm or Universe. In tha Whardeth-d desta the Zoroastrian dutism is conterelict od: -"Who art thou, 0 fair heing ?" inguires the disembodicil soul of od: - Who art thou, o fair heing? ingmires ehe disemboned sonl of one who stanils at tho gates of its Paradise. In am. O som, hyy goot aud pure actions . . . thy law, thy angel, and thy (ime."

[^6]:    * Tho Seventh Stato of matter-Life. Tho Fire and Light of tho "Astral Virgin" may be studied by the Hindus in the Firo and Light of Akasa.
    + . . "to avoid seeing what God is"-i.c., seeng that God is but
    man and vice versn -when le is not tho "lining" of God -the Devil. We know of many who prefer voluntary and life-long blindness te plain, sober tratle nad fact.
    $\pm$ Cupid, the god, is the seventh principle or the Brahm of the Vedantin, and Psuche is its vehicle, the sixth or spiritual soul. As soon as she feels hervelf distinct from her "consort"-and sees him-she loses him. Study the "Heresy of Individuality"-and you will mulerstand,

[^7]:    " In the Christian lerend, the " Redeemer" is tho "Initiator" who offers his life in sacrifice for the priviloge of teaching his disciples some great truths He, who uridiles the Christian sphynx, "becomes tho Master of the Absolute" for the sirpple reason that the grentest mystery of a/l tho
     ancient initiations, --past prosent and futhro-is made plain and divulged
    to him. Those who acept thiceallegory literally, will remain blind all their life and those, who divulge it to the ignorant masses, deserve punishment for their want of discrecion in secking to "food pies with pearls." The Turas furst,--rend but by the intelligent who, whon they puderstand it, prove that they deserve as much of the secret knowiedgo ns con be given thom, -is permitted to throw out a hint. Let him, who would fathon the mystery of the allegory of both Sphynx and Cross, stuly tho modes of iuitiation of the Eryptians, Chaldonns. ancient Jows, Hindus. \&e And then he will find what the word "Atonement"-far older than Christianity-meant, as also "the Baptism of Blood." At tho last moment of the Supremo Initiation, when the Initiztor had divulgod tho last mysterions word, either the Hierophant or the "newly-horn," the worthicr of the tan, had to dic, since two Adopts of fqual power must the unthice of the tarn, had to dic, since two Adopts of fqual power must
    not livo. aud he, whin is perfect, has uo room on parth Eliphas Lovi hints not livo. and he, whin is perfect, has uo room on rarth
    at the mystery in his volumes without explaining it Yet he speaks of at the mystery in his volumes without explaining it let he spenks of
    Moses who dies-mysteriously disappears from the top of Mount Pisgah Moses who dies-mysteriously disappears from the top of Mount Pisgah
    after the had "hid his hands" upme the initiated Aaron, of Jesus wh dies for the diseiple "whom ho loved," Jolin the anthor of tho Apocalypse, and of John the Baptist-the last of the real Nazars of tho Old Testamont (seo Isis, Vol II., p. 132), who, in the inconplete. contradictory and tortured Gospel aceoments, is made to die later through EJorodiandi' " whim, and, in the secret Kabalisric clocuments of the Nabatheans, to offer himself as an expiatory victim after "baptizing" (i.c. initiating) his chosen suceessor in the myatic Jordan. In these documents, after the initiation, Aba, the Father, becomes tho Son, and the Son succeeds the Fatber and becomes Fether and Son at tho same time, inspired hy Nophia Achamoth (secret wisdom) transformed lator on into the Holy Chost But this suceessor of John tho Baptist was not Jesus, tho Nnzarenes say. But of sucsessor of John tho Baptist was not Jesus, tho Nniarencs say. But of
    this anon. To this rlay, tho initiation beyond the Himalayas is followed this anon. To this day, tho initiation belpma the Fimalayas is forved
    by femporg ry death (from thren to six nonths) of the disciple, often that by temporary death (from thren to six months) of the disciple, often tliat
    of the Initiator; but tho Buddhists du not spill blood, "or they have a horror of it, knowing that hlood attracts "evil powers." At the initiation of the., Chhinuamasta. Tantrikas from chining "scvered" and masta "hend"-the Godiless Chhimmanmsta heing represented with a decapitated hearl). tho Tentrik Shesitros say that, as soon as the Adept has reached the highost degree of perfectim, bo has to initiate his successor and-dic, oferine his blond as an atonement for the sins of his brothers. He must "cut off his own head with tho right hand, holding it in the left." Three stroams of blond rush out from the headless trunk. One of these is directed inth the month of the decapitated head (". . . . . my blood is drink indeed"-the injunction in .John that so shocked the disciples); the other is directed toward tho earth as an offering of the pure, sinless blood to mother Farth; and the third gushes toward heaven as a witness for the sacrilico of "self-immolation." Nor, this has a profound Oceult significance which is known only to the initinted; nothing like truth is significance which is known only to the intinted; nothog have defned,
    ernained by the Cliristian dogma, and innerfectly as tley have explained by the Christian doguna, and innerfectly as they have decined,
    the quesi inepirel "Anthors of tho Perfect Way" roveal the truth far neares than any of the Christian commentators.

[^8]:    - 'Ihese examples are "unsuited" because these are not historical facts, adept-while one at any rate,--bns ever " lived with a widuw (or wo widow) princess'; nor has he marrid any one; least of all, no aclept hati, sin:e the world's evolution, epen one, let alone a' "thousand wives."-Fp.

[^9]:    * "M A (Oxon)" was a Theosophist since the very formation of the Society; and he has never resigned. Nevortheless, we have roascrns to doubt, to our grent regret, whother he could or even would consent to beiug eg arded as a Theosophist at present. - DD.

[^10]:    "W. R. Frine."

